

Incompetency Protected

What Necessitates These Issues?

This article is a continuation of the attack on the tenure system. The university was & supposedly is, and I use the term with some hesitency, established for the benefit of the students. That's you and me and anyone else who takes courses. We should have an equal say in who does the teaching at this institution. The tenure committee is set up so we have the least possible voice but also that we do have a voice.

The incompetents who are teaching at this university are an outrage not only to the students, but the university as a whole. The people who have tenure were, in all likelihood, very competent people when they received tenure. The problem is that there is no more risk for these individuals. You can use the same notes you have been using for 20 years, you can listen to the complaints of the student and laugh. You can laugh because you have a life appointment. As a tenured member you can tell this world to fuck-off and there is nothing anyone can do.


The possibility of losing your tenure is there but how many times has that been used? There would be such a roar from faculty about such a happening that it would probably be one of the few times members of the faculty communicated with one another.

The tenure system protects nothing but incompetence. Because of the level of incompetence that is prevalent at this university it can only be passed down to the people who take the courses.

The continuation of the tenure system can only propagate the high level of incompetence which is already rampant at this university. The tenure system must change so that we, the students, have a say.

As was stated before, I believe that the system should be comprised of 4 faculty and 4 students. The time for incompetents judging incompetents must come to an end. The student comes here to learn. The only thing that can be learned from an incompetent is further incompetence. Demand that the tenure system be changed!

DON GRAY



"Don't mind us, we're here to negotiate just like everyone else."

**ALAS, THERE ARE PROFESSORS OF PHILOSOPHY,
BUT NOT PHILOSOPHERS.**

...HENRY DAVID THOREAU

student

ing ticket was on the shield. From the garage I drove back to the campus-- let me make it explicit-- to flaunt my "victory" simply to borrow some money I needed from the library. When I checked out books I wanted and returned to the visitor's parking lot in front of the garage I was amazed to find another ticket on the

ides this bare summary I would like to note that: I have not been such a frequent visitor to campus. I could have exceeded the visitor's privileges (what they are). It was my first visit in at least 3 years.

The car did not and still does not have a parking sticker of any description. Was it irregularly parked?

I am an alumnus of this university and do not take issue with this sort of punishment however, inadvertently it might have been. As I have gathered from the procedure for towing cars away (and I really do think the procedure for towing needs tightening up.

ly you cannot continue parking cars away (and I that mine is not the unhappy incident) on person's hunch. I was to understand that an extreme procedure intended for proven repeated offenders, of which I am. It to me that after

g informed directly myself that I was not a student here the officer enthusiastically in pursual of what we may stably term his as he saw them. It appear that the burden of proof was on him to examine my credentials. I have taken legal counsel in this matter, as it was only a violation of my rights but also caused unnecessary inconvenience and frustration. However, I decided to represent myself to you in this way, I hope that this case reveal certain flaws in the enforcement of parking regulations, uncorrected, could cause more problems in the future.

sincerely,
Cornby (Jr.)