

Dear Editor:

Oh how sweet it is to hear someone who knows the Stones as well as I do. Having said that I disagree with Kirby on some points.

Still life - Is a great live album from the 1981 world tour. It is an album which has Keith at his honest best. No one is pretending here. Keith is no great singer but it's great to hear him sing back up on "Time is on My Side". You can hear Keith's guitar whine with feedback on several songs. This guitar work is the foundation of a world famous sound. "Going to a Go Go" and "Twenty Flight Rock" et al make this album a great document of the tour.

Emotional Rescue is not nearly as bad as Kirby says. "She's so Cold" rips apart most songs you hear on AM radio.

"Dirty Work" is one of my favourites, Corporate rock? You say this because Labatt's Blue promoted itself by using a song from this album. Anyways you can bet your ass that Keith didn't put pen to paper with Labatt's INC. in mind. Don't like this album? Well put on "Harlem Shuffle" and "Had it with you" and wake up.

Flashpoint offers a great version of "Satisfaction" along with "Paint it Black" and "Factory Girl" and there are others. Now I've made my points and I can listen to "It's only Rock N Roll" over and over.

A Concerned Stones Freak

DEAR EDITOR,

During this year the people who live in the three residences received a new cafeteria. Many of us were hoping for a greater selection and better quality of food, plus extended hours of the times that the cafeteria is actually open. Well, the quality of the food drastically declined. French fries and a deli-bar are on the lunch menu practically every day. What ever happened to those apples and oranges? Instead they substituted them with bread rolls that can be used as hockey pucks and jelly. And how about those line-ups? They are a dreadful reminder of a "permission to pay late" line-up at registration day. Obviously this cafeteria was not designed to handle large quantities of people at one time. Now I know why so many people moved down to Blanchard Hall or off of the campus.

Thomas J.

Dear Editor:

The commentary by Colleen Easter in the last issue of the X-Press left me rather puzzled. Did she attend some other lecture by Bernard Valcourt? He came to speak about the government's new constitutional proposal. He also made it quite clear that he and the rest of the government were eager to receive student's input pertaining to what kind of Canada we would like to see in the future. I do not agree with most of the positions taken by his party but I think he deserves some credit for asking for this input, especially when he comes from a government that has cut funding for education to it's bare bones and less.

Students that spoke to Mr. Valcourt told him that a properly funded and accessible system of post-secondary education was part of the future of Canada we envision. This system, we hope, would also be able to support desperately needed services like employment centres on campuses, which provide invaluable counselling and information on part-time, summer, and post-graduate employment. Perhaps Ms. Easter considers these concerns to be "narrow minded and bordering on selfish", but I really don't think the vast majority of students do, and I know I don't.

A high quality and very accessible system of post-secondary education is very important for the future of our country. Students expressed this to Mr. Valcourt. Cuts to badly needed federal fundings for programs like education are helping to divide us further. Don't tell me that this forum wasn't "the time or the place" for us to speak out on the future of Canada in regards to education.

Frankly, I'm insulted by (and tired of) this kind of simplistic cynicism and Ms. Easter's questioning whether or not students care about the future of Canada.

Bruce Davison