

Student Apathy? Include Administration T

As the Cadre has been recently printing: I along with many other people at this institution am "tired of getting ripped off by the University." Bow I can understand what David Lewis means by the "corporate rip-off" phrase used in his campaign. Just substitute "university rip-off" and that tells the story.

The latest in the administration's bag of tricks is the imposition of an extra \$50. fee for the male

residents who live in a double room, but, because of the lack of resident students this year, have not been assigned a roommate. It is not my fault or any of the other "victims" fault that they have no roommate. By this gesture the administration seems to be putting the burden on the student to recruit himself a roommate. If this is the case I ask you what are these people being paid for?

It was decided at a Residence council meeting earl-

ier this year that the students who did not wish to pay the extra \$50. could double up and the assessment would be cancelled. However, I wish to make a point: This decision reached us not through an official notice in the mail as it reasonably should have, but through the usual way of rumors. Oh yes, we (4 of us...so far) did receive a sort of notice in the form of a tidy little bill. Is this communication? It is quite easy to read between the lines on this

one. more a year c ularly decrea how d exces guys! get al ways c especi As no by no have h \$50. f a row. likely line i and co crease year. will u until studen honest afford a plac Not to will s I wou change have b time a keting admini its re this i the ad servic this c reside are co ion wo better have l at the ago (\$ odatic Dalton is the Dalton roxima When y ber by ure of incom In the there Dalton you ge There becaus fees. to cov But is fees a This e assumpt ce is I thin be war that y downto \$750. not re capaci the fe thinki ing th year. ever s on tre

GEE! IT'S JUST LIKE TAKING A SHOWER WITH RUBBER BOOTS!



ASIN 73