

# THE EXAMINER:

A Weekly Journal of Politics, Literature, and News.

"This is true Liberty, when Freeborn Men, having to advise the Public, may speak free."—Euripides.

Vol. XI. Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island, Monday, June 3, 1861. New Series.—No. 22

## The Greatest and Best Invention of the Age FOR FARMERS.

**Mann's Patent MOWING and REAPING Machine.**  
ONE acre of Hay or Grain cut on rough or smooth land in an hour, for less than one shilling cost, with the assistance of four or five men in the purchase of one of those ever renowned Labour-saving Mowers' Patent Mowing and Reaping Machine combined. Now on Exhibition and Sale, with Wilmott's Patent Horse Hay Rake, and Brown's Patent Horse Pitch Fork, at *Orwell's Cheap Store*—the cheapest Store on the Island, and with the greatest variety, from a Needle to an Anchor.

## 3 Gold, 6 Silver Prize Medals, and \$100 Cash have been awarded.

At the Crystal Palace and State Fairs of America, where Mann's Machine has been fully tested with several other Machines, and proved to be the best, safest, most durable and cheapest Machine of the kind ever offered to the public, for saving time, labor and expense to the Farmer, by which few weeks labor and cost can be saved over the old fashion mode of harvesting with hook and scythe, which will pay a fifth part of the entire Machine in one season. It can now be got for four or five in a Settlement joint together, and for which time will be given for payment by the Subscriber, for all those ordered by the 20th of May, so as to have them ready in time for harvest, to enable the Farmers to reap their produce in good weather, and a month sooner, and not fear having too much grain ripe at once, when one of those mowing saving machines can be had on such favourable terms and easy payment, at *Orwell's Cheap Store*.  
This is an opportunity that cannot be got every day, to clear and make large farms, and have a month's work done by less than 10 or 15 years old, in one week. This is coming up to the point of operation, and will make the Farmers independent, and look out for better times and more produce.  
These wonderful Machines are available to Ship-builders and Sea-Captains, Mechanists, Teachers, Widows, and all those whose time is more valuable at other callings, and will be set up by the maker. A trial given of them, and warranted fully perfect, or taken back.  
And as a few testimonials from some of our most extensive Island Farmers, that can be depended on, and who are willing to give satisfactory references, if called on.  
Further particulars known from **PATRICK STEPHENS, Agent,** *Orwell's Cheap Store*,  
**W. W. IRVING,**  
Agricultural Warehouse, Charlottetown, or to **THOMAS ANNEAR, Wood Contractor,** *Montague Street*.

April 22, 1861.  
N. B.—All parties wishing to use any of these Machines, would do well to call the land in time for them—this is important. P. S.

## Certificates.

We certify that each of us have purchased one of Mann's Patent Mowing and Reaping Machine, the operation of which we are highly pleased with, and it has proved much more satisfactory than we expected, having performed all our work much better, and at less cost than hand labor, and having our hay and grain secured nearly five weeks sooner than our neighbors, with the old fashion scythe and mallet. We consider Mann's Machine the most valuable invention ever discovered, or seen on this Island, for the benefit of the Farmer, and strongly advise every one requiring such to secure them in time, if they can arrange in any way to have one in each settlement, when the benefit will be found to save money and time—so valuable to farm workers.  
(Signed) **WILLIAM AODIN, Miller & Farmer, Lot 49,**  
**ROBERT MUMF, Farmer & Stock Master,**  
*Orwell's Cheap Store*.

April 22, 1861.  
N. B.—Any one wishing to see our Machines, can call at any time, or to the agent, at *Orwell's Cheap Store*, where one is on exhibition and sale.

## EVERY BODY KNOWS OR OUGHT TO KNOW,

## THAT DOUGLAS

Has the best assortment of

## FURNITURE,

AND 1st styles in the City and sells so cheap that any one can afford to purchase—beds, sofas, and lounges in iron, brass, and mahogany, Chamber sets, very handsome; Bedsteads in iron & wood, Hat and Umbrella Stands—iron, Side Boards with marble tops, Bureaus and Washstands with marble tops, Chairs, Tables and Work Tables; Extension & Leaf Tables, White and Mahogany, Wardrobes and Dressing Cases, Looking Glasses and Mirrors, Picture Frames and Gilt Moulding, Window Cornices and Bands; Axes, Corded and Looped, Crayon Side Lights; Curtain Rollers, Cane and Wood Chairs in variety, Office Chairs and Cushings; Desk Stools, cane and wood, Child and Misses Chairs, cane and wood, Mattresses—exquisite and hair, with an assortment too large to enumerate, all of which will be sold to suit the times.

GEORGE DOUGLAS,  
Charlottetown, Kent-street,  
(Next door to Hon. G. Coles), May 13, 1861. 61.

## TIMOTHY SEED.

CASH given for 100 bushels good, clean TIMOTHY SEED, by JOHN WILLIAMS,  
Queen Square, Charlottetown, Oct. 2, 1859.

## Nails! Nails!

THE Subscriber has on hand a large assortment of Cut NAILS, which he is prepared to sell very low.  
April 1, 1861. J. S. CARVELL.

## BUTTER.

THE Subscriber has on hand a quantity of VERY SUPERIOR BUTTER—for sale low.  
April 1, 1861. J. S. CARVELL.

## PAVILION HOTEL,

Great George Street.  
(Opposite the Roman Catholic Cathedral.)  
MISS STREET, having again taken possession of the above Establishment, has re-opened it as a FIRST CLASS HOTEL, having furnished it in the best style; and she is now prepared to accommodate her friends and the public generally in a superior manner. The Building being a spacious one, well adapted and arranged for an Hotel, and being situated in the centre of the City, near to the principal public buildings, she trusts it will be found to be a desirable and pleasant location for a temporary or permanent residence.  
Charlottetown, May 13, 1861. 3a.

## United States Consulate,

At Prince Edward Island.  
May 18th, 1861.  
I, the undersigned, having received official information from the Government of the United States of America, that an efficient Blockade of the Ports of the following States, namely: SOUTH CAROLINA, GEORGIA, ALABAMA, FLORIDA, MISSISSIPPI, LOUISIANA, TEXAS, NORTH CAROLINA, VIRGINIA,  
has been established in pursuance of the laws of the United States, and of the law of neutrality in such provided: I deem it my duty to make the fact public, that all parties interested will take due notice and govern themselves accordingly.  
ALBERT G. CATLIN,  
U. S. Consul.  
May 20, 1861.

## NOTICE.

Assignment of Debts.  
ALL Persons indebted to WILLIAM LEAG, of Township No. 24, Traders, are hereby required to make immediate payment to the undersigned, Trustees and Assignees, under Deed dated 12th February, 1861.  
JOHN LONGWORTH,  
JOSEPH HENSLY,  
JAMES ANDERSON,  
GEO. S. BAGNALL.  
Charlottetown, March 8, 1861.

## NOTICE.

ALL persons having any claim against the late PETER O'NEILL, of Nail Pond, Lot 1, deceased, will please send in the same, duly attested, within three months from this date to the undersigned; and all persons indebted to the said late PETER O'NEILL, are hereby required to make immediate payment to the undersigned.  
FIDEL BERNARD, Administrator.  
Nail Pond, Lot 1, Nov. 12, 1859.

## TO BE SOLD AT PUBLIC AUCTION, at the Province Buildings, in Charlottetown, on TUESDAY, the 11th day of JUNE next, at the hour of 12 o'clock, noon, under a power of sale contained in a mortgage made by THOMAS SMITH and wife to James H. Peters: All that piece of GROUND, situated in Charlottetown, and known as Town Lot No. 32 in the first hundred of Town Lots in Charlottetown, together with all Houses and Buildings thereon. Terms Cash. Dated this 23rd January, 1861.

JAMES H. PETERS.

## Land for Sale.

THE subscriber offers at Private Sale, the following valuable property: 130 acres Wood Land on Lot 43, fronting on the line road between Lots 43 and 44, having a front of 14 chains to the 100 acres; 21 acres being part of the reserved lands adjoining Georgetown. Town Lot No. 8, First Range, Letter E, in Georgetown, and Pasture Lot No. 83, in the Royalty of said Town. Also, 50 acres Land, four of which are cleared on Lot 32, fronting Anderson's Road, 7 miles from Charlottetown. Three Building Lots in Charlottetown. For further particulars apply to

JOHN MCGILL,  
Charlottetown, Feb'y 25th, 1861. 6m

## MONEY! MONEY!!

## A Rare Chance to make Money.

VALUABLE MILLS and PROPERTY for Sale at MILL VALLE, New London. A large and well-built SAW MILL, consisting of a gang of up and down Saws, a Single and Lath Machine, new and in complete working order.  
A GRIST MILL, two DWELLING HOUSES, a large BARN, a Stable and Blacksmith's Forge, all on the premises; and the LEASEHOLD Interest in about 230 acres of good LAND, 75 of which are under cultivation, the rest well stocked with good Hardwood, Hemlock and Spruce. The Mill Stream is one of the finest in the Island—ample power for any thing that may be required. This property offers a rare chance for any enterprising man, and will be sold at a great bargain.  
Parties wishing to purchase will please make early application to  
P. W. HYNDMAN,  
Charlottetown, April 15, 1861.

## Valuable Property for Sale!

THE Subscriber offers at PRIVATE SALE the following valuable PROPERTY:—200 acres LEASEHOLD LAND, situated on Lot 19, fronting on Richmond Bay. 160 acres are in a high state of cultivation, including 20 acres of MARSH, producing annually between 25 and 30 tons of Hay; the remainder is covered with Hard and Soft Wood. On the Farm is a good and substantial DWELLING HOUSE, 25 x 30, with an excellent Well of Water at the door; a BARN, 30 x 40, and a House Farm, 24 x 24. There is an abundance of Sea Manure to be had on the Premises. This eligible Property is within four miles of the flourishing village of Summerside. For further particulars apply to  
ROBERT MCNEILL,  
Lot 19, May 13, 1861.

## FOR SALE OR TO BE LET,

And Immediate Possession given.

THE WELL KNOWN PROPERTY formerly in the possession of the late JAMES N. HARRIS, Commission Merchant, of this City, consisting of a Two story BUILDING fronting on Richmond Street, fitted up as a Dwelling House, Shop and Sale Room, with a Room in the rear 40 feet long, fitted for cutting and packing Pork, &c.  
Also—A BUILDING in the rear of the Lot, two stories high, 44 by 40 feet, used as a Warehouse, &c., and under which is a large Frost Proof Cellar.  
The Property being very convenient to the Market, is one of the best business stands in the City. For further particulars apply to  
W. W. LORD, Executor.  
Charlottetown, May 20, 1861.

## FREEHOLD PROPERTY FOR SALE.

A FREEHOLD FARM, consisting of 120 Acres, on the A Tryon Road, Lot 27, 40 of which are in a high state of cultivation, the remainder covered with Hard and Soft Wood. There are a good Dwelling House and Barn on the premises, and also a good well of water within a short distance from the dwelling. For further particulars apply to the subscriber on the premises.  
WILLIAM MCKAY,  
Tryon Road, Lot 27, Nov. 19, 1859. 1f

## AUCTION. AUCTION.

ON MONDAY, 10th JUNE.

THE MILLS and PROPERTY at MILL VALLE, advertised in another column of this paper, will be sold at PUBLIC AUCTION, on the Premises, on MONDAY, 10th JUNE. Sale at 1 o'clock. Terms made known at sale.  
P. W. HYNDMAN,  
Charlottetown, May 20, 1861.

## Seeds! Seeds! Seeds!

Just opening at the Establishment of

## J. W. BRADLEY & CO.

Dawson's Block, Corner of Kent and Great George Streets.

VARIOUS FIELD, GARDEN and FLOWER SEEDS, warranted of superior quality.

## AGRICULTURAL IMPLEMENTS,

of all descriptions.

In addition to the above, the Proprietors beg to acquaint the Public that they will receive, on the opening of the Navigation, large Consignments, consisting of Hardware, Hollowwares, Groceries, Ale, Porter, Wines and Liquors, and respectfully solicit the patronage of purchasers.  
April 8, 1861. 1st & R W

## NO MISTAKE

BUT the Cheapest and best TINWARE, STOVE-PIPING and GAS-FITTING can be had at LOCKERBY'S & HERMANS' Establishment.

## PUNCTUALITY—Our motto.

February 18, 1861. 1f

## IN THE PRESS, AND SHORTLY TO BE ISSUED,

## Bagster's History of Prince Edward Island.

DESIGNED to give a truthful and unbiased account of the Island, its progress and prospects—in a handsome form, for both intending and actual settlers, and making the Island, as it deserves to be, better known at home and abroad. The price will be as low as possible, and a reduction made where numbers are taken for the purposes of circulation. A portion of the Edition will be embellished with Photographic Illustrations of the Public Buildings, &c. A few pages following the Appendix will be reserved for select Advertisements, at 30s. a page, or 10s. for a quarter page, for the whole Edition.  
Orders and Advertisements received at the ISLANDER OFFICE, Charlottetown. May 13, '61.

## To Breeders of Stock.

THE newly imported STUD HORSE "CANADIAN," from Lower Canada, will stand for the season at the

## Globe Hotel Stables.

SERVICE—20s. Cash. J. W. BRADLEY & CO.  
Charlottetown, May 20, 1861.

## Ayer's Cherry Pectoral.

To all whom it may concern.

I, the undersigned, intend leaving Halifax for England by the "Arabia," on the 16th May, for the express purpose of remitting—immediately on my arrival there—a sum of money to SWABY & ROBERTS, of Charlottetown, sufficient to liquidate, in full, all my debts owing in the said Island.  
Signed,  
A. H. A. HENNIKE R.  
Halifax, May 15, 1861.

## A Boat found.

A SMALL BOAT has been picked up in the Hillsborough River, near Charlottetown. The owner can have the Boat by giving its dimensions and paying expenses. For information inquire at JOHN GRIFFIN'S, Hillsborough Square, Charlottetown.  
May 29, 1861. 3w—pd 46d

## Provincial Parliament.

## HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.

Monday, March 18.

The House again resolved into a Committee of Supply; debate on the grant of a salary to the Colonial Office.

## MR. LONGWORTH.

On Saturday evening at the hour of adjournment I was reviewing the speech of the hon. member for St. Peter's, Mr. Whelan, in reference to the visit of His Royal Highness the Prince of Wales to the Colony. I was induced to do so, because of the high position that hon. member occupies in the ranks of the Opposition. I do not wish to put any construction upon his language which it is not calculated to bear, but I think there can scarcely be any doubt as to his meaning, when, drawing a comparison between the people of this Colony and those of Great Britain, he said that our position was so low and so degraded, that Her Majesty was not entitled to our respect or to our loyalty,—that in consequence of certain evils with which the Sovereign must be chargeable, we are relieved from the duty of acting as loyal subjects to the Crown, and that we are degraded and down-trodden. Admitting that such influence has been used, is that an argument to be employed by a representative of the people when a question of loyalty is raised between the Sovereign and a portion of her subjects. We know that monopolies have been granted to individuals in the mother country, and that the same laws are still in existence there, though the people have exercised the right of petition, and that the people have heard that they rose in rebellion, because the Crown refused to put down those evils? How are we to dispose of the principle of the British Constitution that the Crown can do no wrong, if we are to withdraw our allegiance from Queen Victoria on account of a certain influence being brought to bear against the interests of the Colony? If wrong has been done to us by the Crown, let the Colonial Office, if they stand here for a moment to justify the proprietors in such a course of proceeding, I admit that such conduct on their part is improper, as it tends to thwart our legislation; but if they are permitted to exercise an influence to the prejudice of the Colony, charge not Her Majesty in the matter; the Colonial Minister is to a loyal responsible party.

Mr. Whelan's absence I rise to order.

He did not say anything against the Crown.

Hon. Mr. LONGWORTH.—His sentiments could not be mistaken. He said he was not going to bow himself through loyalty to the son of the Queen, as he was not the Sovereign, and therefore the hon. member must allude to Her Majesty. He said also that the idea of our living under the shadow of the bill of Rights was a delusion, a mockery and a snare. I would not say that the hon. member was wrong in his expressions? In what respect are we different from the people of Great Britain? What liberties do the people of the mother country enjoy which are not secured to us? Is our criminal code more severe? Are we subjected to heavier taxes? No; then in what respect are we more like serfs? I say that he has not proved his assertion; and I defy him to substantiate his words. It would be well to know just what the people of this Island are not entitled to the same immunities and privileges as are enjoyed in Great Britain. And notwithstanding the insinuation which he threw out that the fountains of justice are polluted in this Island—that when a poor man comes into the courts of law he seldom obtains his rights—

Hon. Mr. COLES.—Is this the prevailing opinion was so.

Hon. Mr. LONGWORTH.—I do not mean to say that the prevailing opinion and not his own, he had no right to give expression to it. But he stated that in this Island was a hanging. I have yet to learn that the people of this Island have sacrificed their rights, for the assertion is against the people themselves, so far as are drawn from the people. If we are to allow the rights of the people to be first eroded away, then the Government, the hon. member said that the Government of Wales, for the present, and the hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles, and to make laws for the people of the Government. The hon. member said that the Prince of Wales was not entitled to the loyalty due to the Sovereign, but admitting that to be the case, did he come here simply as a private individual, or did he come vested with Royal authority so far as it could be given him? He came here with the power of creating peers of the realm, and with the power to confer honours and titles,