

# Editorial

A culture is the creative expression of a people. Insofar as that people are the creators of themselves, their culture is the authentic expression of that creation. However, when a people are subservient to the ideals (idiology) of another, they cease to have a culture OF THEIR OWN! What is then called culture is nothing but a

manifestation of the "cultural" achievements of those who dominate.

Within capitalism, the many who are expropriated by the few (the Bourgeois Capitalist) are deprived of their own cultural creation and, thus, of the means of their own growth and development. They become therefore, mere slaves to the capitalist and cease

to be humans quo human. Culture must be controlled by those about which culture is a manifestation; to deprive them of their OWN cultural means is to deprive them of the means for their own growth and development. This is why capitalist idiology must be defeated by our people. Our very lives depend on it!  
-Stan Dalton

## Letters to the Editor



Editor:

Concerning Oct. 16, editorial comment to Mr. King.

In Canada, French is not a foreign language. Surely your responsibility to Mr. King goes beyond expressing "considerable regret", to arranging French language typing facilities and/or suitable translation facilities. The extra onus put on French speaking contributors by expecting them to provide their own typing and translation is discriminatory.

- Donna Greenwood

Editor:

This is the proposed change in the constitution that was presented to council last night and accepted.

ARTICLE XIV - Personnel Board, section II, shall be changed to read:

THE PERSONNEL BOARD shall be responsible for the recruiting and selection of all non-elected personnel; and all salaried personnel employed by the UPEI S.U. That is, for all UPEI S.U. Committee Boards and all committees on which the UPEI S.U. shall appoint members and thus be represented, and all staff, student, or otherwise, which shall be employed by the UPEI S.U. The Personnel Board shall administer salaries and honoraria given to hire UPEI S.U. personnel without obtaining prior approval at a meeting of the Personnel Board. The Personnel Board shall also provide such services to any sub-organization of the UPEI S.U. which wishes to make use of this service

if the organization is not included in the above. And also ARTICLE XVI section II has been changed to the following:

The Personnel Board shall interview persons seeking salaried employment and committee chairmanship and recommend the applicant on the following criteria.

- a) qualifications of applicants
- b) interest and enthusiasm of applicants

Any comments will be accepted by the Cadre.

-Carol Ward

Mr Editor;

I would like to express my thanks to professor Rahman for demanding last week, sensibility, and clarity in this university paper. I trust that henceforth "towers of Babel", "fly-bottles", and "Humpty Dumpty" will no longer soil these pages.

I must also agree with professor Rahman that the presence of "high-flown philosophy" in a university paper, of all places, Mr. Editor, is indeed a detriment. One is now forced to read the Cadre and worse, to think about it. One is even aroused to write replies and thus waste time, of which, alas, one has far too little.

Furthermore, Mr. Editor, it is indeed "nerve-wracking" to have such confused and confusing questions raised in interviews. Don't you know that freedom of speech is leaving people free to say what they expect their listeners expect to hear? Just give us the news, Mr. Editor, leave the ideas to the neurologists and the electroencephalographs.

Or, if we must have ideas than I would agree with professor Rahman that, if their expression is not clear and distinct, the issues will be obscured and confused.

Here, unfortunately, I do not find Professor Rahman's suggestions very helpful.

If one attempts to clarify the issues by a Wittgen-

steinian psychoanalysis of our misuse of words, then one cannot begin by telling the patient to shut-up. Even Wittgenstein had to give up that principle (Whereof one cannot speak, one must be silent), because he found that what would then be said was trivial and empty. Imagine Freud telling his patients to speak only "clearly and without confusion"!

Nor is it any more useful to simply translate one's "verbal muddle" into another language: Straight and Crooked Thinking, Thinking to Some Purpose, People in Quandries. The problem with Professor Rahman's suggested books is that they hide the fact that there is straight and crooked thinking about Straight and Crooked Thinking, that there are some (not all!) purposes to Thinking to Some purpose, and that people are in quandries about People in Quandries. In this connection, let me suggest H. Marcuse's One Dimensional Man.

One should, of course, "be careful in making words mean what one wants them to mean". But the first step is to recognize that this can be done, that words are used, and only because of that they are sensible. They are not sensible in themselves. If one is not using them, then they are sensible because someone else is using them. That is the real tyranny of words on the mind. Is a use of words by the Other a God given right? Is it a matter of knowledge or faith? Carry on Cadre!

Joe Naylor  
Dept. of Philosophy

Dear Editor:

Why does the staff of the Cadre insist on handing the students the same SHIT concerning the establishment down the hill? For the

con't pg. 4