

## Editorial pg. cont.

money order. Since Holland College has taken over ownership of the building, my uncertified cheques have been readily accepted. The question I now ask is, "Why the difference?"

Perhaps UPEI's situation can be likened to any entity which has a monopoly, i.e. its customers, students in this case, are at its mercy, and it will establish rules to suit itself. Unfortunately, the Business Office is not competing with the merchants of Ch'town who are quite happy to accept your cheque.

Lastly, I would point to the discrimination between students and faculty, (and their families). Oh yes, members of the faculty (and their families) can have their personal cheques accepted at the Business Office and the Bookstore. Mr. Stevenson's explanation of this situation is that the university has no comeback on students while they can hold or deduct from a faculty member's pay cheque. Come on, Stevenson!!

How many of you have heard of profs who haven't paid parking tickets and then had it deducted from their pay cheques? And how many of you have heard of students not receiving their marks because of an overdue book or an unpaid parking ticket? We hear about discriminatory practices in connection with Dale Apartments -- how about right here on campus?

It seems that the label, 'student', equates with being beyond trust.

Even without the university's potential to withhold marks, I think we are all sufficiently informed to realize that the payee of any unpaid cheque has comeback on the drawer.

So, that's my case, and I hope that the new SU executive will bring the topic to the University Administration. Surely other methods can be used to penalize persons, (note, I did not say students) who abuse the opportunity to use uncertified cheques. e.g. Charge them a minimum of \$2. plus interest for the time the cheques were unpaid; refuse their cheques, if necessary; withhold marks, etc.

If no change occurs, think about it the next time you are on your way to get a certified cheque, money order or cash.

I've got to go now -- to get a cheque, money order or cash!  
Gary Gosse

Dear Editor;

An advertisement offering term papers for sale was published in the February 19 edition of the Cadre. From reading the Cadre this year I had assumed that this paper is published in the best interests of the students of UPEI. The Cadre

has assumed a stance of purity in contrast with the defiled establishment. But perhaps it is just that -- assumed. Perhaps, dear Editor, you are just as hypocritical as those so often pointed at with the finger of scorn. If this is not the case, how can you explain your lack of editorial responsibility in accepting advertising for fraudulent goods? Surely you do not really believe that your editor's note, which appeared above the advertisement, excuses you? The students who fail courses because they bought their term papers will not excuse you (most professors recognize these papers). Those who lose their self respect through fraud will not excuse you. Those who discover too late that a degree bought rather than earned is of little value, will not excuse you. Like Pilate, you profess innocence but your hand-washing editor's note does not excuse you.

Ernest A. Mutch  
Art's Senior

Dear Cadre Staff & Occasional Contributors:

What is it with you people? Or is it me? It seems to me that the Cadre is for the most part composed of attacks and counter-attacks; students attack professors and other students and the attacked attack back. Women call men pigs and blame them as the source of all their problems. Other women writers plead with the men for the precious question, "Will you go to the Carnival Ball with me?" but do it sarcastically to make it sound like they don't really need men at all.

Maybe it's essential to successful journalism that there is plenty of such bitterness and name-calling as we see in the Cadre. Is that the reason? Would no one (normal) read an article which praised someone or something, and which wasn't sarcastic? I have seen a few such articles in our newspaper such as the one on our university president and have greatly appreciated them. There must be more people on campus who deserve praise. Maybe people who wish to

praise publicly are afraid of being ridiculed just as I am afraid to sign my name to these words. After all students are supposed to be anti-everything and anti-everyone according to the majority of non-students. I repeat that criticism is very valuable but why doesn't praise get equal time in our newspaper? Will someone please answer me?!

Auntie Anti-anti-everything

Dear Auntie --

To begin with, I must point out to you, that you, too, are on the attack. It seems inevitable doesn't it?

There is a difference between 'criticism' and 'attack'. The 'Cadre' seeks to be a means for criticism -- not for attack, if attack is meant in the derogatory sense.

In an age of transition an old state of affairs is being superseded by a new state of affairs. The 'new' is in contradiction with the 'old'. Criticism is a means for overthrowing the 'old'. UPEI is no exception. There's much to be done -- and there's resistance on the part of the 'old'. This means we must criticize. Now to those who are advocates of the 'old', they will feel the criticism of the 'new' to be an 'attack', and in a sense it is. But this is necessary. We are for the development of historical man, not for the stagnation of constancy.

Editor

Dear Sir:

I am writing to express the deep concern of the Canadian Hemophilia Society over the advertisements now appearing in the publications of Canadian Universities, paid for by commercial firms which offer to buy blood from students. These ads openly ask the students if they need extra money.

Our organization feels strongly that we should bring to the attention of the students, through your university publication, the following facts.

The Canadian Hemophilia Society was instituted some 20 years ago, through the efforts of concerned parents of hemophilic children, be-

**cont. to pg.6**



STUDENT: CADRE'S BEST FRIEND