

editorial

The SUN has been accused of being the same as the CADRE. It is not!

We, the staff of the SUN, are all inexperienced. Some of us have worked on the CADRE but that is the same as being inexperienced. One must realize that novices in any field make mistakes. We are making mistakes; in fact, we are making many mistakes. In this respect the SUN is the same as the CADRE.

Where, then, lies the difference? The SUN is trying to learn from its mistakes; we are trying to improve with every issue. The CADRE never really improved. It was the same all year long. It made few attempts at self-improvement.

This doesn't mean the SUN will be a better paper than the CADRE, but at least we will have tried to make it better. No one should ask for more.

The SUN would like to express their apologies to Cheryl Cannon concerning the article on the S.U.C. meeting. Once again we experienced layout problems. We hacked up what was a very good article. It will not happen again, Cheryl. Please forgive us.

The Staff of the SUN.

CHALLENGE

The Editor of the SUN promises that this paper will not have a single head-line all year concerning either unemployment statistics or the cost-of-living. He challenges all other editors in the Province, in all media, to make the same pledge on the grounds that after almost 40 years of continuous rises in prices, cost-of-living increases can no longer be news, and b) after over 20 years of continuing high level unemployment, that can no longer be news either.



the sun vol nine no three sept 22, 1977

The UPEI SUN is a member of the Canadian University Press (CUP) with exclusive national advertising rights held by Youthstream, 307 Davenport Road, Toronto, Ontario.

The UPEI SUN aims to be an independent newspaper published weekly throughout the academic year by the UPEI Union, printed by the Journal-Pioneer in Summerside and distributed throughout the campus and a few select spots in Charlottetown.

Contents are the responsibility of the editor. Opions are those of the persons expressing them. All letters and articles must be signed even if the name is to

be withheld. Deadline for all submissions is Monday noon. Office hours are 9:30 to 12:00 and 2:30 - 5:00 Monday and Wednesday, 10:00 to 12:30 Tuesday and Thursday and most evenings. The staff meeting is every Monday at 7 PM in The SUN's office, fourth floor Main, East end.

Thanks to Brian Deveau, Marsha Gaudet, Brendon Bulger, Carole Betts, Sandra Saunders, Valerie Moore, Maria Driscoll, Joanne Peters, Lois Watkins, Bill Power, Linda Fall, Mary Ann Hutchinson, Gerald McKenna, Mike McMahon, Linda Scales, Barry Chandler, and Lee Donnelly for working on this week's issue.

Dear Sun Staff
The first edition of the Sun was good but...

The article in the second edition concerning the presidential candidates had no place in a responsible newspaper. It was strictly speculative and sensationalist, bearing no relationship to the reality of the situation.

The Selection Committee realized the gravity of their job and laboured over it. After many hours of preparation and both personal and communal deliberation, they made what in conscience they felt to be the best decision for our university.

Your article not only belittled the intelligence of the people who made the decision by suggesting that the criterion was as uneducated as you portrayed, but it also unjustly attacked the caliber of the applicants all of whom were eminently qualified.

Changing the name will not change the image or the quality of the newspaper, only responsible student journalists can do that.

Patricia O'Brien
Dear Editor:

This is with reference to your story on the candidates for President published in the September 15 issue of your newspaper. I think it should be emphasized that ALL the candidates who were interviewed on campus were eminently qualified for the job. We should consider ourselves fortunate that these people showed interest in the position.

I do not know what the source of your story is. I hope it is not the Search Committee, for I find the story to be too distasteful to have originated from a committee which was entrusted with such a serious task.

Yours sincerely,
Satadal Dasgupta
Department of Sociology and Anthropology.

Dear Cadre:
I am sticking to your o'd name in the salutation because the paper hasn't changed-- you're just becoming pornographic in less obvious ways. (Frankly, I preferred the Walt Disney cartoon parody, as it was healthier than the piece I will refer to below.) The name Cadre was, in any event, a good one to live up to, but editors Willis and MacRae were the last to make any attempt at that.

A Sun is suppose to shed light. Your article on the Presidential Search Committee's deliberations, "All the candidates were Good but...", in the September 15 issue, did the reverse-- it pulled down the blinds on "truth" regarding the search and it cast dark shadows on the reputations of several fine men-- not to speak of the Search Committee, which would have to have been pretty dumb to act on the perceptions you ascribe to it.

Most of this article is bad rumour. It is either