

1 OF 2,
CLAIMS

Against an Accident Co. for last year.
All were for accidents caused to pedestrians walking on the sidewalk

THE OCEAN ACCIDENT

Insures against all kinds of accidents
Its premiums are low, and it issues a good Policy

E. R. Brow
General Agent
Charlottetown

THE DAILY EXAMINER.

JULY 5, 1897.

THE "CLAIMS QUESTION."

The Patriot complains that "THE EXAMINER will not see the truth" in regard to this question, and that THE EXAMINER is "mixing and muddling in the effort to deceive the people." Mr. Peters proposes to have an arbitration; Mr. Peters has included our claim on account of excessive railway expenditures in other parts of Canada as chief among the number of claims to be submitted to arbitration; Mr. Peters expects as a result of the arbitration to obtain a large sum of money for the replenishment of the depleted Provincial treasury. All this is clear and admitted. It is admitted, too, that there is to be no readjustment of the subsidy as indicated by Mr. Peters in the Legislature. On the basis of these admitted facts, we argue that if our claims are submitted to arbitration, and if a large sum of money should thus be obtained for the Provincial treasury, the railways and public works needed by the people of Belfast, Murray Harbor, East Point and other sections of the Province will not be built. We cannot have our railway claim upon the Dominion Government after we have obtained from the Dominion Government and placed in the Provincial treasury the amount of that claim as awarded by a court of arbitration. There is no "mixing and muddling" in this argument. On the contrary, we think it will be admitted to be clear to the mind of any elector.

The Patriot quotes Sir Oliver Mowat in support of its contention that THE EXAMINER "will not see the truth." What does Sir Oliver say? He says:

"I may state for the hon. gentleman's comfort, however that no arbitration has been agreed upon. With regard to the claims of Prince Edward Island I can say this much, they will be carefully considered and whatever those needs and claims demand will be accorded to them."

What is the true meaning of these remarks which the Patriot has quoted and italicized? Sir Oliver's personal opinion evidently is that an arbitration is not necessary and ought not to be granted. He intimates that the "needs and claims" of Prince Edward will be carefully considered by the Government of Canada and that justice will be done in the premises. This is the right position for the Government of Canada to take. It is the position that was taken by the governments of Sir MacKenzie Bowell and Sir Charles Tupper. The good judgement of Sir Oliver Mowat, may be overruled by his near unscrupulous colleagues for the purpose of forwarding Mr. Peter's schemes. But Sir Oliver is undoubtedly right. Evidently he personally takes the view of Senator Ferguson and THE EXAMINER and those conservatives who say that arbitration is not necessary in a case in which the justice of the claim is practically admitted on both sides and by all parties. That this Sir Oliver's view is evident upon the face of the following paragraph also quoted by the Patriot:

"I am quite sure the interests of Prince Edward Island are perfectly safe in the hands of the Minister of Marine and Fisheries, one of the most able and vigorous members of the government, and he will be found not to have failed in his duty to his province because he is acting for the interests of the whole Dominion."

We may not all have the childlike confidence in Sir Louis that is entertained by Sir Oliver; but we must all admit that Sir Oliver sees no cause for arbitration, and that it is THE PATRIOT which "will not see the truth!"

Why, then, does Mr. Peters ask for an arbitration? Does he really believe that his friends in the Dominion Government will not do justice to Prince Edward Island of their own motion? Surely the influence of Sir Louis Henry Davies and his supporters in the House of Commons, ought to be potent in a case the justice of which is clear and admitted. Why institute a costly arbitration, involving unlimited powers of squandering public

yas in the case of the Behring Sea? It is possible that Mr. Peters desires that some of his legal friends shall have a nice fat job. But we believe that he merely wishes to humbug the people to the end that he may obtain a new lease of power.

AN EXPLANATION NEEDED.

The small amount received into the public treasury on account of the Scott Act prosecutions throughout the Province has been a subject of repeated enquiry in the Legislature and elsewhere. It has been explained on the part of the Government that the costs of the dismissed Scott Act cases were paid out of the amount received on account of Scott Act fines; hence the small amount of Scott Act fine placed to the credit of the revenue. Now the Public Accounts show that the amounts received by the Government from the Stipendiary Magistrates on Scott Act account were:—

| | |
|-----------|----------|
| 1894..... | \$ 90.00 |
| 1895..... | 103.45 |
| 1896..... | 192.09 |

Total\$385.54
On the other hand, according to the statements placed on the table of the Legislature by Hon. Mr. Peters in response to requests of Mr. Shaw regarding the expenses of prosecutions in Magistrate's courts, it appears that instead of the expenses of Scott Act cases being paid out of the fines collected, as stated by the Government representatives, the following sums were paid out of the treasury on that account:—

| | |
|-----------|-----------|
| 1894..... | \$ 665.40 |
| 1895..... | 396.78 |
| 1896..... | 248.28 |

Total\$1316.46
This amount has been taken out of the provincial revenue—out of the taxation of the people—in the last three years, while only \$385.54 has been placed to the credit of the revenue. Apart from the tergiversation of the Government in respect to this matter there is the question what became of the Scott Act fines? Fines to the amount of more than \$385 were certainly imposed during the last three years. What became of the money, and why is the Province at a loss on account of Scott Act prosecutions? Perhaps Mr. Peters will explain.

NOTES AND COMMENTS.

—Ottawa Journal: Except in the spending line, Parliament seems to have somewhat missed fire. There was a slaughter of the innocents—for instance, the franchise bill, the Civil Service Superannuation bill, the Prohibition plebiscite, the Criminal Code, the Manitoba school funds bill. But more money was authorized to be spent than in any previous year in the history of the Dominion save one.

—Of the Spithead naval review the Philadelphia Record says: It was a revelation. It showed better than could mere tabulated statements of the naval strength of the several maritime nations the unique position occupied by Great Britain among the world's naval powers. In due Britannia revealed herself as the unchallenged mistress of the seas.

—The Mail and Empire points out that Premier Reid of New South Wales refused knighthood. Premier Laurier, who always objected to decorations, seized one immediately it was offered, and then declared that the Queen had tempted him. We do not believe Her Majesty was any more anxious that Mr. Laurier should be knighted than she was that Mr. Reid should be knighted.

—The Patriot objects to Hon. Mr. Gordon's statement that "a radical change is to be made in the administration of Public Works," though it admits that the sentence has a good sound. It wants particulars. We think that the Pat. ought to be satisfied upon at least one point. The present head of the Public Works Department will be cut off. That will be a long step in the direction of a radical change. Mr. John McLean, of St. John's, has the matter in hand, and the work will be well done.

**None as Good
as E. & D.**

**Fine...
Baby Days.**

These June days your doctor will tell you that it's wrong to keep the little folks indoors this kind of weather. The spring air will make baby plump and healthy. See that yours gets it. No need to worry about how to do it. We have the Carriages, and as the season is getting late, we will make the prices interesting on the few remaining in stock.

Mark Wright & Co., Ltd

HOME MAKERS.

P. E. ISLAND'S CLAIMS.

Hon. Mr. Peters' Arbitration Scheme Exposed

By Senator Ferguson in the Lyceum
---the Hillsborough Bridge.

The Hon. Mr. Ferguson said: At this time I will content myself with congratulating this convention on the excellent nominations you have made, and the great unanimity which prevails among you, and I will add a word on the claims of this Province as referred to by Hon. Mr. Peters in his address to the electors. That gentleman says that I opposed, in the Senate, his proposition to submit the Island claims to arbitration. This statement is only partially true. The claims which Mr. Peters mentioned in his letter were (1st,) regarding winter communication (2nd) on account of the excessive Federal expenditure on public works on the mainland, which is the foundation of our railway claim; (3rd) a refund of the pension paid to the widow of the Hon. E. Whelan. His proposition was that all these claims should be referred to three arbitrators with the understanding that no P. E. Islander should be named as one of them. Here is what I said in the Senate regarding this proposal:

"I do not find any fault with the manner in which the Provincial Premier proposed to deal with some of these questions. His proposition in his letter to Mr. Davies is that all the claims between the Province and the Dominion should be submitted to arbitration; that three men should be named, one by the Province and one by the Dominion and the third to be selected in some other manner, and that these three should have power to go into all the questions, and that their report, while not absolutely binding, should be a basis for future action. In my capacity as a Member of this House I want to protest against the Premier's proposal to refer the matter of Railway construction in P. E. Island to any arbitration whatever. Mr. Peters makes the extraordinary suggestion in connection with this subject that no person belonging to P. E. Island should be one of the three arbitrators. I do object to the Premier's proposition that this part of what he calls the claims of the Province in the matter of the construction of railways should be referred to arbitration at all. I contend that that subject should be dealt with in regard to P. E. I. precisely as it has been dealt with in regard to every Province of Canada."

While opposing strongly the submission of our railway claim to any arbitration, and while opposing just as strongly Mr. Peters' alleged scheme to exclude all Islanders from acting in the matter, I was particularly careful not to find fault with a fair arbitration over such questions as non fulfillment of the terms of union regarding winter communication. That I was right in these matters is now evident from the fact that Mr. Peters says, in his manifesto, that he does not now propose to refer the railway question to arbitration. My remarks in the Senate, coupled with those of Messrs. McDonald and Martin in the Commons, showed him that the ignominious surrender he proposed would not be acceptable, and he now turns round and declares that the surrender is not to be made. Sir Louis Davies, after having forwarded Mr. Peters' letter to the Governor in Council for favorable consideration, denied in the Commons that it had been proposed to submit the railway matter to arbitration at all. The people of P. E. Island have a right to have the truth told them, and I am mistaken if the Liberal party will gain by the misstatements of their leaders. When I saw the statement in the Lieutenant Governor's speech about the bridge over the Hillsborough and the alleged progress in settling our claims against the Dominion, I brought the matter up in the Senate. Just as I expected I found that the Peters Government were at their old work of deception. The Secretary of States reply was:

"The usual contribution in building bridges that are used by railways has been 15 per cent of the cost. I know of no reason why a different rule should prevail in this particular case."

That is if the bridge costs \$500,000 the Dominion would pay \$75,000 and the Island \$425,000! What a bonanza for the Island! It also came out that Mr. Peters' letter to Mr. Davies, dated the 8th of April, had not been sent to Council for seven weeks and would not probably have been sent only for my motion in the Senate. Sir Oliver

**BLUE SERGES
AGAIN**

No summer suit ever meets with more favor, gives better satisfaction, looks nicer than a well tailored good blues serge. It has that about it which cleans and brushes up nicely and is always cool. Our demand for serges in blue, grey and black has been very large this season; our first selections having been sold out tee days ago. We now have our second selection in, ready for you. In double breasted and round sacks, with wide satin piping double warp Italian lining and faced back to armhole, the suits are \$10. In round sacks with skeleton silk lining, beautifully tailored garments, the suits are

\$12.00

In skeleton coats and vests, with satin under collar, they are \$6. In odd double breasted coats, very swell for office or street wear, \$2.20. In odd trousers they are 75c to \$2.50. While the price of our serge garments is moderate, you will find the tailoring of them first class, inside and out. We've a good one too, in an all wool at \$1.50 to \$3.50, all sizes. We are out with hot weather stuffs now.

JAMES PATON & CO'Y

**LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE
RALLY.**

A Grand Rally Meeting of the Liberal-Conservative Electors of Charlottetown and Royalty will be held
IN THE MARKET HALL,
—ON—
Thursday next, July 8th, inst.
AT 7.30 O'CLOCK, P. M.

The meeting will be addressed by
MESSRS. BLAKE & PATON,
The Liberal-Conservative Candidates.

Other prominent speakers will also address the meeting. Messrs. Rogers and Prowse, the Liberal candidates, are invited to be present and to address the electors,

C. R. SMALLWOOD
SECRETARY

154 pat

If Horses Could Talk

What a hum there would be on the streets about the wonderful way in which

Quickheal--

cures Scratches, Galls and Sores.
Every man who owns a horse should try it.

SOLD EVERYWHERE

SUPREME COURT:

MONDAY, July 5.

McRae vs. Mark Wright & Co.—This case is still before the Supreme Court. On Saturday the plaintiff's case was finished and a plea was moved, but after argument refused, and the case proceeded, leave to move being reserved. Today evidence for the defence is being heard.

Boils, pimples and eruptions, scrofula, salt rheum and all other manifestations of impure blood are cured by H. H.'s Sarsaparilla.

Jan., Dec.

A drink for all the year round is

**SOVEREIGN
LIME FRUIT
JUICE.**

Ask your dealer for it. How unpleasant is Lime Juice when accompanied by that bitter and mouldy taste. You will find neither of these faults in Sovereign Lime Fruit Juice. Ask for it.

Simson Bros. & Co.
Manufacturers

**BURGLARS
WANTED.**

To the Burglar who entered our office and broke the Handle of Safe we extend an invitation to call again, promising him a free entry into the safe, and thereby saving him the use of the Stillson wrench. We will not insure his easy exit, but will be on hand with an ambulance and undertaker.

At the same time we give the Dairyman a guarantee for one year with our twelve gang Cheese Presses. Nearly all that were imported here in the past required to be repaired within a year.

Our improved Cheese Vat is the most popular in the market. Our Babcock Testers never break the bottles.

The press hoops are right for eighty lbs of curd.

And best of all the "ALPHA de LAVAL SEPARATOR" is on top of the field away ahead of all others.

Write for prices. Terms made to suit customers.

Our Pumps are winning a name for themselves at prices to beat any import

T. A. McLEAN