

Queen Insurance Company OF LIVERPOOL. FIRE AND LIFE!

Capital, - - - £1,000,000 Sterling. THE Subscriber, having been appointed...

From the Liverpool Mercury, Nov. 2, 1861. "It must be gratifying to the public generally, and especially to the proprietors, to find that its income during the past three years has increased...

Life Assurance Company of Glasgow. ESTABLISHED IN 1838.

The Right Honourable The Earl of Glasgow. Subscribed Capital, - - - £600,000. Accumulated Funds, - - - 480,000. Annual Revenue, - - - 95,000.

Annual Division of Profits, Policy-Holders Participate in Profits from First Year of Entry.

F. P. NORTON, AUCTIONEER

Commission Merchant, GEORGETOWN - - - P. E. ISLAND. October 31, 1861.

Carlton's Condition Powders! IS THERE any VIRTUE in Mrs. S. ALLEN'S WORLD'S HAIR RESTORER AND ZYLOBALSAMUM?

Rev. G. A. BUCKE, Assistant Treasurer American Bible Union, N. Y. City, writes: "I very cheerfully and with testimony to the efficacy of your hair restorer, and to the fact that it is the only one of the kind that I have used."

Holloway's Ointment. Contracted or Stiff Joints.

All the Medicines in the London Dispensary would barely benefit, much less cure, any chronic cases of contracted or stiff joints; whereas if this invaluable Ointment be effectually rubbed into such parts twice a day, the effect will be immense.

Disorders of the Kidneys, Stone and Gravel.

In any of the above complaints more benefit may be derived in twenty-four hours by adopting the following plan: which is frequently brought about in six months by the use of the Ointment.

Diphtheria, Sore Throats, &c.

These maladies are of so serious and dangerous a nature that the Ointment would not be recommended unless the Proprietor was sure of its effect.

Herbs, Barks and Roots VERSUS POISONOUS MINERALS AND DRUGS.

Do you, when observing the unsteady actions of your children, consider that it may be more than a mere colic that afflicts them? In nine cases out of ten, the cause of the little sufferer's uneasiness is Worms, and should you not be assured to do so, do not let your children suffer, what we present you.

Judson's Worm Tea, A SAFE AND PLEASANT CURE FOR WORMS.

How much better and safer it would be to have it always in the house. A little delay when a child is taken ill may often be the cause of its death.

BROWN'S Bronchial Trochees FOR COUGHS, COLDS, AND THROAT DISEASES.

MRS. WINSLOW'S SOOTHING SYRUP For Children Teething. IT RELIEVES COLIC.

Valuable Building Lots IN CHARLOTTETOWN.

TO be sold by PUBLIC AUCTION, on the premises, of W. L. DODD, the 31st day of MAY next, at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, part of 107 LOTS Nos. 6 and 7, in the Second Hundred of Town Lots in Charlottetown, the most desirable for building purposes in the City.

Valuable Freehold Property FOR SALE, BY PRIVATE CONTRACT.

ON DONALDSTON ESTATE, LOT No. 35, containing about Two hundred and Forty Acres of EXCELLENT LAND, Bounded on the East by Bedford Bay, on the West by Winter River, and abutting on the Corran Bay Bridge.

Valuable Farm for Sale.

TO be sold by PRIVATE CONTRACT, that eligible LEASEHOLD PROPERTY, on Lot 34, known as "MAIRFIELD," containing 60 Acres of excellent Land, of which about 150 are under cultivation.

For Sale or to be Let, AND immediate possession given.

A DWELLING HOUSE, OUT HOUSES, FARM and PREMISES, at present in possession of Capt. William H. Board, situated at Tignish, Lot 1, containing 100 acres of Leasehold Land.

Valuable & Desirable Building LOTS FOR SALE.

THE Subscriber offers for sale Two Pleasantly situated WATER LOTS in Georgetown, with Water Privileges and a channel leading to the Common at the eastern part of the Town.

Dwelling House, Tannery, &c. FOR SALE.

THE subscriber offers for sale, at private contract, a fine premises, well situated in the town of Charlottetown, consisting of a Dwelling House, a Tannery, and a half acre of land.

VALUABLE PROPERTY FOR SALE.

TO be sold by PRIVATE CONTRACT, that eligible TRACT OF LAND, belonging to the Subscriber, adjoining the Farm of James Miller, Esq., on Township No. 24. This Land is well situated in point of situation and quality.

For Sale, or to be Let, THAT beautifully situated HOUSE AND FARM.

THE subscriber offers for sale, on the Princeton Road, opposite the Catholic College, a beautiful and desirable property, consisting of a Dwelling House, a Farm, and a half acre of land.

A Freehold Farm for Sale.

CONSISTING OF 175 Acres of Front LAND, in a high state of cultivation, with a good Dwelling House, Barn, Coach House, Thrashing Machine, and all other requisites suitable for a Farm.

FOR SALE, 400 BBLs. EXTRA FLOUR.

DR. FRANK D. BEER, returned thanks for the patronage he has received since commencing practice in Charlottetown, intimating that he has opened a SURGERY and DRUG STORE, adjoining his residence, King Street, East, at the residence of the subscriber, in Prince Street.

NOTICE.

MR. E. C. NEWBERY is hereby authorized to collect amounts due me for professional attendance, &c. and give receipts in my name. All accounts rendered by him must be sent forthwith, he settled immediately.

DR. C. L. STRICKLAND, Surgeon Dentist, Great George Street, CHARLOTTETOWN.

Judson's Worm Tea!

Directions for the guidance of patients in taking Judson's Worm Tea, &c. See page 10.

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.

DEBATE ON THE LAND QUESTION. (Continued from our last No.) Hon. Sol. GENERAL.—I cannot, Mr. Chairman, agree with those who assert that the Fifteen Years' Purchase Bill, as it is designated, has been productive of no benefits to the tenantry, and that no appreciable amelioration of their condition has resulted from its operation.

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.

DEBATE ON THE LAND QUESTION. (Continued from our last No.) Hon. Sol. GENERAL.—I cannot, Mr. Chairman, agree with those who assert that the Fifteen Years' Purchase Bill, as it is designated, has been productive of no benefits to the tenantry, and that no appreciable amelioration of their condition has resulted from its operation.

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.

DEBATE ON THE LAND QUESTION. (Continued from our last No.) Hon. Sol. GENERAL.—I cannot, Mr. Chairman, agree with those who assert that the Fifteen Years' Purchase Bill, as it is designated, has been productive of no benefits to the tenantry, and that no appreciable amelioration of their condition has resulted from its operation.

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.

DEBATE ON THE LAND QUESTION. (Continued from our last No.) Hon. Sol. GENERAL.—I cannot, Mr. Chairman, agree with those who assert that the Fifteen Years' Purchase Bill, as it is designated, has been productive of no benefits to the tenantry, and that no appreciable amelioration of their condition has resulted from its operation.

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.

DEBATE ON THE LAND QUESTION. (Continued from our last No.) Hon. Sol. GENERAL.—I cannot, Mr. Chairman, agree with those who assert that the Fifteen Years' Purchase Bill, as it is designated, has been productive of no benefits to the tenantry, and that no appreciable amelioration of their condition has resulted from its operation.

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.

DEBATE ON THE LAND QUESTION. (Continued from our last No.) Hon. Sol. GENERAL.—I cannot, Mr. Chairman, agree with those who assert that the Fifteen Years' Purchase Bill, as it is designated, has been productive of no benefits to the tenantry, and that no appreciable amelioration of their condition has resulted from its operation.

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.

DEBATE ON THE LAND QUESTION. (Continued from our last No.) Hon. Sol. GENERAL.—I cannot, Mr. Chairman, agree with those who assert that the Fifteen Years' Purchase Bill, as it is designated, has been productive of no benefits to the tenantry, and that no appreciable amelioration of their condition has resulted from its operation.

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.

DEBATE ON THE LAND QUESTION. (Continued from our last No.) Hon. Sol. GENERAL.—I cannot, Mr. Chairman, agree with those who assert that the Fifteen Years' Purchase Bill, as it is designated, has been productive of no benefits to the tenantry, and that no appreciable amelioration of their condition has resulted from its operation.

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.

DEBATE ON THE LAND QUESTION. (Continued from our last No.) Hon. Sol. GENERAL.—I cannot, Mr. Chairman, agree with those who assert that the Fifteen Years' Purchase Bill, as it is designated, has been productive of no benefits to the tenantry, and that no appreciable amelioration of their condition has resulted from its operation.

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.

DEBATE ON THE LAND QUESTION. (Continued from our last No.) Hon. Sol. GENERAL.—I cannot, Mr. Chairman, agree with those who assert that the Fifteen Years' Purchase Bill, as it is designated, has been productive of no benefits to the tenantry, and that no appreciable amelioration of their condition has resulted from its operation.

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.

DEBATE ON THE LAND QUESTION. (Continued from our last No.) Hon. Sol. GENERAL.—I cannot, Mr. Chairman, agree with those who assert that the Fifteen Years' Purchase Bill, as it is designated, has been productive of no benefits to the tenantry, and that no appreciable amelioration of their condition has resulted from its operation.

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.

DEBATE ON THE LAND QUESTION. (Continued from our last No.) Hon. Sol. GENERAL.—I cannot, Mr. Chairman, agree with those who assert that the Fifteen Years' Purchase Bill, as it is designated, has been productive of no benefits to the tenantry, and that no appreciable amelioration of their condition has resulted from its operation.

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.

DEBATE ON THE LAND QUESTION. (Continued from our last No.) Hon. Sol. GENERAL.—I cannot, Mr. Chairman, agree with those who assert that the Fifteen Years' Purchase Bill, as it is designated, has been productive of no benefits to the tenantry, and that no appreciable amelioration of their condition has resulted from its operation.

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.

DEBATE ON THE LAND QUESTION. (Continued from our last No.) Hon. Sol. GENERAL.—I cannot, Mr. Chairman, agree with those who assert that the Fifteen Years' Purchase Bill, as it is designated, has been productive of no benefits to the tenantry, and that no appreciable amelioration of their condition has resulted from its operation.

The Railroad, but see what it will cost!

The estimated cost is \$16,000,000, and \$9,000,000 would have to come off the Colonies. See what a position we would be in! This Island would be entirely ruined. Canada is greatly in debt already, and they are going into debt at a tremendous rate.

The Railroad, but see what it will cost!

The estimated cost is \$16,000,000, and \$9,000,000 would have to come off the Colonies. See what a position we would be in! This Island would be entirely ruined. Canada is greatly in debt already, and they are going into debt at a tremendous rate.

The Railroad, but see what it will cost!

The estimated cost is \$16,000,000, and \$9,000,000 would have to come off the Colonies. See what a position we would be in! This Island would be entirely ruined. Canada is greatly in debt already, and they are going into debt at a tremendous rate.

The Railroad, but see what it will cost!

The estimated cost is \$16,000,000, and \$9,000,000 would have to come off the Colonies. See what a position we would be in! This Island would be entirely ruined. Canada is greatly in debt already, and they are going into debt at a tremendous rate.

The Railroad, but see what it will cost!

The estimated cost is \$16,000,000, and \$9,000,000 would have to come off the Colonies. See what a position we would be in! This Island would be entirely ruined. Canada is greatly in debt already, and they are going into debt at a tremendous rate.

The Railroad, but see what it will cost!

The estimated cost is \$16,000,000, and \$9,000,000 would have to come off the Colonies. See what a position we would be in! This Island would be entirely ruined. Canada is greatly in debt already, and they are going into debt at a tremendous rate.

The Railroad, but see what it will cost!

The estimated cost is \$16,000,000, and \$9,000,000 would have to come off the Colonies. See what a position we would be in! This Island would be entirely ruined. Canada is greatly in debt already, and they are going into debt at a tremendous rate.

The Railroad, but see what it will cost!

The estimated cost is \$16,000,000, and \$9,000,000 would have to come off the Colonies. See what a position we would be in! This Island would be entirely ruined. Canada is greatly in debt already, and they are going into debt at a tremendous rate.

The Railroad, but see what it will cost!

The estimated cost is \$16,000,000, and \$9,000,000 would have to come off the Colonies. See what a position we would be in! This Island would be entirely ruined. Canada is greatly in debt already, and they are going into debt at a tremendous rate.

The Railroad, but see what it will cost!

The estimated cost is \$16,000,000, and \$9,000,000 would have to come off the Colonies. See what a position we would be in! This Island would be entirely ruined. Canada is greatly in debt already, and they are going into debt at a tremendous rate.

The Railroad, but see what it will cost!

The estimated cost is \$16,000,000, and \$9,000,000 would have to come off the Colonies. See what a position we would be in! This Island would be entirely ruined. Canada is greatly in debt already, and they are going into debt at a tremendous rate.

The Railroad, but see what it will cost!

The estimated cost is \$16,000,000, and \$9,000,000 would have to come off the Colonies. See what a position we would be in! This Island would be entirely ruined. Canada is greatly in debt already, and they are going into debt at a tremendous rate.

The Railroad, but see what it will cost!

The estimated cost is \$16,000,000, and \$9,000,000 would have to come off the Colonies. See what a position we would be in! This Island would be entirely ruined. Canada is greatly in debt already, and they are going into debt at a tremendous rate.

The Railroad, but see what it will cost!

The estimated cost is \$16,000,000, and \$9,000,000 would have to come off the Colonies. See what a position we would be in! This Island would be entirely ruined. Canada is greatly in debt already, and they are going into debt at a tremendous rate.

fleets of Great Britain intend to maintain themselves, they must guard well the mouths of the St. Lawrence and the approaches to the coal fields of Nova Scotia and Cape Breton, and that would be our protection.

And there may be large sums of money expended by Canada without being in open warfare because they are so near the borders of the United States, and they must protect their frontier. We have seen an instance of this a few days ago, and why should we have to share in the expenditure of such sums? I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies.

fleets of Great Britain intend to maintain themselves, they must guard well the mouths of the St. Lawrence and the approaches to the coal fields of Nova Scotia and Cape Breton, and that would be our protection.

And there may be large sums of money expended by Canada without being in open warfare because they are so near the borders of the United States, and they must protect their frontier. We have seen an instance of this a few days ago, and why should we have to share in the expenditure of such sums? I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies.

fleets of Great Britain intend to maintain themselves, they must guard well the mouths of the St. Lawrence and the approaches to the coal fields of Nova Scotia and Cape Breton, and that would be our protection.

And there may be large sums of money expended by Canada without being in open warfare because they are so near the borders of the United States, and they must protect their frontier. We have seen an instance of this a few days ago, and why should we have to share in the expenditure of such sums? I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies.

fleets of Great Britain intend to maintain themselves, they must guard well the mouths of the St. Lawrence and the approaches to the coal fields of Nova Scotia and Cape Breton, and that would be our protection.

And there may be large sums of money expended by Canada without being in open warfare because they are so near the borders of the United States, and they must protect their frontier. We have seen an instance of this a few days ago, and why should we have to share in the expenditure of such sums? I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies.

fleets of Great Britain intend to maintain themselves, they must guard well the mouths of the St. Lawrence and the approaches to the coal fields of Nova Scotia and Cape Breton, and that would be our protection.

And there may be large sums of money expended by Canada without being in open warfare because they are so near the borders of the United States, and they must protect their frontier. We have seen an instance of this a few days ago, and why should we have to share in the expenditure of such sums? I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies.

fleets of Great Britain intend to maintain themselves, they must guard well the mouths of the St. Lawrence and the approaches to the coal fields of Nova Scotia and Cape Breton, and that would be our protection.

And there may be large sums of money expended by Canada without being in open warfare because they are so near the borders of the United States, and they must protect their frontier. We have seen an instance of this a few days ago, and why should we have to share in the expenditure of such sums? I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies.

fleets of Great Britain intend to maintain themselves, they must guard well the mouths of the St. Lawrence and the approaches to the coal fields of Nova Scotia and Cape Breton, and that would be our protection.

And there may be large sums of money expended by Canada without being in open warfare because they are so near the borders of the United States, and they must protect their frontier. We have seen an instance of this a few days ago, and why should we have to share in the expenditure of such sums? I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies.

fleets of Great Britain intend to maintain themselves, they must guard well the mouths of the St. Lawrence and the approaches to the coal fields of Nova Scotia and Cape Breton, and that would be our protection.

And there may be large sums of money expended by Canada without being in open warfare because they are so near the borders of the United States, and they must protect their frontier. We have seen an instance of this a few days ago, and why should we have to share in the expenditure of such sums? I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies.

fleets of Great Britain intend to maintain themselves, they must guard well the mouths of the St. Lawrence and the approaches to the coal fields of Nova Scotia and Cape Breton, and that would be our protection.

And there may be large sums of money expended by Canada without being in open warfare because they are so near the borders of the United States, and they must protect their frontier. We have seen an instance of this a few days ago, and why should we have to share in the expenditure of such sums? I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies.

fleets of Great Britain intend to maintain themselves, they must guard well the mouths of the St. Lawrence and the approaches to the coal fields of Nova Scotia and Cape Breton, and that would be our protection.

And there may be large sums of money expended by Canada without being in open warfare because they are so near the borders of the United States, and they must protect their frontier. We have seen an instance of this a few days ago, and why should we have to share in the expenditure of such sums? I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies.

fleets of Great Britain intend to maintain themselves, they must guard well the mouths of the St. Lawrence and the approaches to the coal fields of Nova Scotia and Cape Breton, and that would be our protection.

And there may be large sums of money expended by Canada without being in open warfare because they are so near the borders of the United States, and they must protect their frontier. We have seen an instance of this a few days ago, and why should we have to share in the expenditure of such sums? I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies.

fleets of Great Britain intend to maintain themselves, they must guard well the mouths of the St. Lawrence and the approaches to the coal fields of Nova Scotia and Cape Breton, and that would be our protection.

And there may be large sums of money expended by Canada without being in open warfare because they are so near the borders of the United States, and they must protect their frontier. We have seen an instance of this a few days ago, and why should we have to share in the expenditure of such sums? I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies.

fleets of Great Britain intend to maintain themselves, they must guard well the mouths of the St. Lawrence and the approaches to the coal fields of Nova Scotia and Cape Breton, and that would be our protection.

And there may be large sums of money expended by Canada without being in open warfare because they are so near the borders of the United States, and they must protect their frontier. We have seen an instance of this a few days ago, and why should we have to share in the expenditure of such sums? I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies.

fleets of Great Britain intend to maintain themselves, they must guard well the mouths of the St. Lawrence and the approaches to the coal fields of Nova Scotia and Cape Breton, and that would be our protection.

And there may be large sums of money expended by Canada without being in open warfare because they are so near the borders of the United States, and they must protect their frontier. We have seen an instance of this a few days ago, and why should we have to share in the expenditure of such sums? I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies, and I see a large sum was voted a few days ago by the "landed gentry" of the Colonies.