

TR I O N S

thought the boys would consider me unfeminine but one learns to grow out of these things. One might even become so open minded as to read the "Bobssey Twins" where not only different age groups were represented but the two sets of twins each consisted of one boy and one girl. I am beginning to think that some men around here spent too damn much time under their covers at night with just a flashlight and "Nancy Drew" until women became an un- tangible, faraway mystery rather than real people.....

If you believe that a woman's purpose in coming to the university is to catch a man she is fishing in polluted, stagnant waters. It seems that the girl who has been looking for an education for past decades has also been buying her own drinks or doctoring her own patients or writing her own books or if you can imagine it, raising a family with her husband. Your orders for men to stop this degradation of dignity was perfect until you refused to see there are too many men who really want a clueless, mindless "Barbie Doll" who will cuddle up to him so daintily and then run in the bathroom and claw the eyes out of "sister sue" who was eyeing her charming, debonair, hello-there big boyish friend. I think you have been sitting on that barstool so long waiting for a "nice looking broad" and when she didn't come you decided to play sour grapes.

Your opening sentence, "Men of the world unite!" is not the answer. If men and women could take things on their own and never mind about what the gang should do, more people would not be so subservient to what is the standard. I am a believer in the idea that one's entire body from head to toe is

nothing more than one's thought itself. Break the chains of your thought and you break the chains of your body. If not, become nothing but a decorated shell from which the inner man has been emptied. If things are to change men are not supposed to feel they have to fight women as a group and never taste of the sweet society where we can be (even allowing a respect of natural and man made law) responsible only to the commandments we make to our own secret ear, the legislation that we enforce upon our own thoughts and acts. I realize that action gains momentum by working with large numbers but when I give my estimation of a man or a woman I draw it from their own energies and doings. I prefer to read a man as an encyclopedia of his own history not by the feel of his wallet. The people crying most that they are not free are sometimes the hardest to convince that they are.

I feel no need of assuming a mystery identity because there is no purpose in wanting to pop out on everyone at the end of the term after they have tried with the utmost fervor to figure out who I am,
Respectfully yours,
Andrea M. Smith



Dear Sir,
This letter is in response to an article published in last weeks edition of the Cadre entitled "Fuck" or for those of you who prefer a more subtle approach, "Frig". First let me say that I am not about to criticize the use of this word for I use it quite frequently myself. Mr. Ogilive obviously has a problem (mental maybe). He felt that breaking out of Bernadine Hall with a toaster was a "tremendously funny joke". (ha,ha) I myself, fail to see any humor in it. It's not my idea of having a good time. He probably enjoys car accidents and plane crashes too.

As for being fined \$10 for contempt of court, I think Mr. MacAdam (chairman of the S.J.C.) was justified in doing so. Ogilive contends that this was a direct infringement on his rights. Methinks this boy knows nothing of rights, otherwise he wouldn't have infringed upon the rights of the people from whom he stole the toaster. Besides no one really digs a thief. That stopped in high school.

Corporal MacAulay did his job, and thats all there is to it. He is a human being around here like everyone else and therefore deserves to be as one. If it were not for people like him, the demented jerks like Ogilive would have this place in chaos.

Brucie boy is quite fortunate that the administration and Mr. MacAdam did not have him taken to court in Charlottetown. When as Perry Mason might say, they would have thrown the books at him! But then he would probably have kept his big trash can mouth shut and kissed their asses until he was green in the groin, hoping to elude a criminal record. Perhaps a note of apology should

be directed to the S.J.C. from Mr. Ogilive for his irrational attitude.

I am sir, etc.
A Student

To all eighty-five followers, recently referred to as "common people" and university students also considered as such. Are your morals really as low as Mr. Ogilive would have you believe? Is F--- really one of your everyday expressions? Only after lengthy discussions about the particular article in last week's Cadre did we decide to establish the fact that we, common university students, whose parents are of the "common working class of 9 to 5 labourers", have not accepted it into our everyday vocabulary, nor is it probably that we will do so in the near future! The fact also remains that on hearing the word in question, we will not accept it as part of our everyday conversation. We are also behind the S.J.C.'s fining of an individual for the use of profanities such as those stated in the particular article. Although questioning has been brought forth on the validity and justification of such a fine, we feel, perhaps, anyone should feel grateful for the easy way the S.J.C. handled the case. What would happen to an individual if such a type of language was used in one of the city courts? As to the referral to the members of the S.J.C. being "a bunch of haughty students" led by "their power-tripping Chairman" limiting one's freedom, one should realize that these students have volunteered and dedicated their own time for the protection and betterment of the student body. Can you imagine what would happen to us if all our cases were dealt with by the city courts?

P.G.