

continued from Page

weeks early to practice for a tourney in Summerside, we travel every second weekend which costs each person about 30 to 40 dollars out of their own pocket and we are committed to representing our University in this Hockey conference. We consider ourselves a family and like any family we fight and argue amongst ourselves. However, when someone on the team is in trouble everyone else sticks by them. On Saturday March 12, we achieved one of your goals. Our celebration was going to involve everyone. The Sports Page Lounge was gracious enough to invite our whole team down to have a victory celebration. I am sorry that not everyone did not know where we were going to celebrate. I know there were some people missing that should or would have liked to have been there. I would like to point out that it was a team decision involving everyone that we would be going out partying together.

Don Clow asked me how the vote went. When I told him he informed me for the first time that we would be going out as one and not to worry about not being part of the party. Instead of criticizing a group for this type of action

should they not be praised for having character?

I am truly sorry this whole incident took place but what bothers me more is the fact that people that the time to write a letter to the Editor and not

bother to even question any of the people involved. If someone were to ask me in private what happened I would gladly explain. It is when this whole incident is brought up four months after the fact and kept in

the paper for three weeks by members of this university community that makes me feel a mountain is being made out of a mole hill.

Kevin MacNeil

### More about Rahman

Dear Editor:

On my reading of Professor Rahman's *The Psychological Quest*, I have discerned certain affinities with Alan Bloom's influential *The Closing of the American Mind*. Whether Professor Rahman would own these affinities is, of course, another matter. At any rate, Bloom and Rahman alike, it seems to me, are concerned that the ethos of critical inquiry, upon which the Western University is presumably predicated, is waning in its sway to the extent that criticism no longer attempts to justify itself on its own terms, but only to the extent that it lends itself to the selective critiquing of selected contemporary "issues". In many circles, criticism today is considered

appropriate only when it critiques the perceived underpinnings of those certain "issues" that are deemed to be "significant" by the intelligentsia that frame such issues, and for which a corroborative consensus can be found among the broader intelligentsia. Clearly, there are affinities between Rahman's challenging of the recent Mazer/Percival Findings and Bloom's less than sanguine observation concerning recent imputations of sexism:

"Male sexual passion has become sinful again because it culminates in sexism, women are made into objects, they are raped by their husbands as well as by strangers, they are sexually harassed by professors and employers at school and at

work, and their children, whom they leave in day care centers in order to pursue their careers, are sexually abused by teachers. All these crimes must be legislated against and punished. What sensitive male can avoid realizing how dangerous his sexual passion is? Is there perhaps really original sin? Men had failed to read the fine print in the Emancipation Proclamation. The new interference with sexual desire is more comprehensive, more intense, more difficult to escape than the older conventions, the grip of which was so recently relaxed. The July 14 of the sexual revolution was really only a day between the overthrow of the Ancient Regime and the onset of the Terror. The new regime of

virtue, accompanied by relentless propaganda on radio and television and in the press, has its own catechism inducing an examination of the conscience and the inmost sentiments for traces of possessiveness, jealousy, protectiveness - all those things men used to feel for women. There are, of course, a multitude of properly indignant censors equipped with loud-speakers and inquisitional tribunals."

Surely the tone that many found so offensive in Rahman's criticism of the Mazer/Percival Findings pales in comparison with the seeming invective of Bloom. If Bloom were a UPEI Faculty Member, he would doubtless be accused of being "unethical",

UPEI  
Party Weekend  
THURSDAY  
Happy Hour  
Specials!  
8:00-11:00

FRIDAY  
HAPPY HOUR 4-8  
Jimburger  
Fries  
& a Picture  
of Dear Abby  
\$4.99

## Finals in Picture It



Saturday  
afternoon  
4-7

Special prizes  
for everybody

# JIM'S

"THANK YOU FOR YOUR SUPPORT  
AND BEST OF LUCK  
TO YOU ALL"

JIMS LOUNGE