

# LETTERS CONT'D

level, is immune to the effects of alcohol-including students.

Sharon did not cite actual cases of faculty drunkenness nor did she intend to; the possibility of it occurring is, however, just as real as it is for students. In matters of this nature (alcohol) student-faculty distinctions are irrelevant!

What I find most interesting about your letter is your concern for your 'moral or professional standing'! Now there would be an interesting issue!!

- Editor

Mr. Editor:

I was quite amused by the response in the (Oct.30, issue) to my letter from our friendly neighborhood philosopher--Prof. Joe Naylor. Believe it or not, I tend to agree with some of his disagreements! Sure, "one cannot begin by telling the patient to shut-up". Freud would never have told his patients to speak "clearly and without confusion"; he had this "complex" about losing his practice you know. Even then, imagine Freud saying "Remain confused--you have nothing to lose

but your rationality"! "language games" with you-- but imagine him saying: "Play the game as if the "ball" belonged to you and you alone--and of course, make up your own rules as you go along"

Moral: Your ball, your rules  
Heads--you win and tails--I lose!

Recommendation-- A cure for "one dimensionality" "Science and Sanity"--  
A. Korzybski.

Sincerely,  
M.M. Rahman,  
Dept. of Psychology

Dear Sir:

Mrs. Cregier's curious portrait of the UPEI faculty as a gang of hard-core bibbers may have raised a laugh or two by its very incongruity. But however ridiculous the idea might be of our "gowned"(?) "wastrels" tottering toward their cars after their one glass of sherry, beer or (good grief!) whiskey, in the event that there is somewhere a naive freshman whose eyes popped on reading the lady's hair raising account, I must inform him that he can care-

fully replace his eyeballs in their sockets.

Mrs. Cregier's highly-colored comments stem from a vivid or possibly overwrought imagination. They are certainly not based on experience as she never has seen a Happy Hour. I would suggest that she has never seen a "reeking" professor mouthing vaporous platitudes to a class on Friday afternoon.

"Demon Rum" certainly conjures up sordid visions in the minds of the impressionable, but shows a preoccup-

ation, in Mrs. Cregier's case, with 19th century novelettes rather than the modest reality of the one or two hours exercise in camaraderie which the more sociable members of faculty might undertake from time to time during the latter half of a Friday afternoon. Perhaps it has not occurred to Mrs. Cregier that facilities for drinking abound elsewhere and the reason for the Happy Hour in the Lounge is to provide a time slot when colleagues can meet socially and enjoy each others company, not to drink.



The Mad Flasher--University recruiting techniques get more aggressive

Dear Editor:

This is with reference to Professor Rahmans commentary on Mr. Dalton's interview.

While I cannot say I was moved to a state of collapse by either Mr. Dalton's interview or professor Rahman's reaction to it. I do find the letter particularly bothersome.

(1) About the Psychological: While I would not presume to tell professor Rahman his business, I do suggest that to bring psychoanalytic considerations to bear on an argument in this context is positively pernicious. It reminds me of the ad hominem arguments that some psychologist of a Freudian persuasion are prone to rely on. This practice removes the possibility of rational debate further. In reference to another implied analogy, I would also like to suggest that it is far from established that the relationship between certain forms of philosophic argument and the books written out of a certain philosophic tradition is similar to the relationship between certain forms

con't to pg. 5