

THE CHARLOTTETOWN GUARDIAN

President—W. Chester S. McLure, M.P. Vice-President, J.R. Burnett, F.J.I. Secretary—Lieut.-Col. D.A. MacKinnon, D.S.O. Editor and Managing Director—J.E. Burnett, F.J.I. Associate Editors—Frank Walker and D.H. Currie.

SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1934

FICTION VS. FACTS

The alleged decline in Canada's trade with the United Kingdom under Conservative fiscal policies has been the subject of many misleading statements in the Liberal press. Unable to account for the phenomenal pickup in trade figures in recent months, the contention is advanced that these figures represent commodity prices, and fail to show any evidence of increase in trade volume.

In 1929, Canada exported 199,000 cwts. of bacon to the United Kingdom. In 1930, the last year of the King Government, this export declined to 99,000 cwts. In the following year there was a further drop, but in 1932, following the negotiation of the Empire agreements, the exports improved to 182,000 cwts. and last year to the greatly increased amount of 506,000 cwts., bringing Canada from seventh to fourth place in the list of supplying countries.

Of hams, Canada exported 98,200 cwts. in 1929 to the United Kingdom, a decrease of 17,000 cwts. over the preceding year. There was a further drop to 84,000 cwts. in 1930, the last Liberal year. By 1932, with the Empire agreements in operation, this export increased to 142,900 cwts. and last year a further gain of 26 per cent was recorded, bringing our export up to 180,700 cwts., a figure never remotely approached in the heyday of the Mackenzie King regime.

Canada's exports of frozen pork to the United Kingdom are comparatively small, yet here again the effect of the Empire agreements will be noted. In 1930, the last Liberal year, our export was 3,000 cwts. In 1933 it amounted to 19,000 cwts. Export of poultry (dead) from Canada to the United Kingdom was 1,000 cwts. in 1929. In 1930 it declined to 600 cwts. In 1933, under the Bennett policies, it increased to 10,800 cwts.

Again, the export of Canadian eggs in shell to the United Kingdom steadily declined in the last three years of the Mackenzie King regime, dropping from 83,000 gt. hds. in 1928 to 15,000 gt. hds. in 1930. Under the Bennett trade policies this loss was not only recovered, but more than doubled, the figures for 1933 being 183,000 gt. hds.

The same result is seen in the figures for Canada's export of milk powder to the United Kingdom. From 34,412 cwts. in 1929 this export dropped to 25,988 cwts. in 1930. It increased under the Bennett regime, last year's figures being 37,344 cwts.

The figures for this year, in these and other farm commodities, should show a still greater increase in our trade with the United Kingdom both in volume and value. In view of these facts our farmers may well ask what is the purpose of the campaign of misstatement and abuse which has been waged with such persistence in the Liberal press against the Empire trade agreements and the Bennett policies out of which those agreements arose.

THE MARKETING ACT

A number of our agricultural organizations have gone on record as approving of the Natural Products Marketing Act, passed at the last session of the Dominion Parliament, and of petitioning for regulation of their industry under the provisions of the statute. This can now be done at any time, the procedure, as set forth in the Act, being as follows: Sec. 5. (1) A representative number of persons engaged in the production or marketing of a natural product may petition the Governor in Council to approve a scheme for the regulation of the marketing of such natural product by a local board under the supervision of the (Federal) Board.

considers that the persons engaged in the production or marketing of the natural product are sufficiently represented by the petitioners, the scheme shall be referred to the Board with a request for a report on the expediency thereof. (3) Upon receipt of a report from the Board recommending the approval of the scheme as submitted or as amended by the Board, the Minister may recommend the approval thereof, or may require that a poll be taken and state the necessary percentage of voters favouring the scheme to warrant its further consideration; upon the recommendation of approval by the Minister, the Governor in Council may approve a scheme and fix the date when the same shall become effective.

(4) Before any scheme is approved the Governor in Council shall be satisfied: (a) that the principal market for the natural product is outside the province of production; or (b) that some part of the product produced may be exported. (5) Every scheme shall state: (a) the natural product to be the subject of the scheme of regulation; (b) the proposed scheme in sufficient detail, including arrangements for a poll, if one is proposed, and for organization and administration under the supervision of the Board to enable consideration of the expediency thereof; (c) the powers hereinbefore mentioned which it is proposed shall be exercised by the local board under the Board; (d) if the scheme is to relate only to the product of a part of Canada, the geographical limits of such territory; (e) full information respecting the quality of the said product produced and the markets therefor; (f) whether the scheme is to relate to the whole of the regulated product or to such part as is marketable outside the province of production or to such part as is exported from Canada; (g) the number of persons who shall comprise the local board and the basis of their selection; (h) the name and number of the local board; the place and address of the head office; the chief executive officers; the quorum required to approve any order or resolution; and how vacancies are to be filled; (i) any other information required by regulation or by the Minister.

(6) Before any scheme is approved the Governor in Council shall be satisfied: (a) that the principal market for the natural product is outside the province of production; or (b) that some part of the product produced may be exported. (5) Every scheme shall state: (a) the natural product to be the subject of the scheme of regulation; (b) the proposed scheme in sufficient detail, including arrangements for a poll, if one is proposed, and for organization and administration under the supervision of the Board to enable consideration of the expediency thereof; (c) the powers hereinbefore mentioned which it is proposed shall be exercised by the local board under the Board; (d) if the scheme is to relate only to the product of a part of Canada, the geographical limits of such territory; (e) full information respecting the quality of the said product produced and the markets therefor; (f) whether the scheme is to relate to the whole of the regulated product or to such part as is marketable outside the province of production or to such part as is exported from Canada; (g) the number of persons who shall comprise the local board and the basis of their selection; (h) the name and number of the local board; the place and address of the head office; the chief executive officers; the quorum required to approve any order or resolution; and how vacancies are to be filled; (i) any other information required by regulation or by the Minister.

(6) Before any scheme is approved the Governor in Council shall be satisfied: (a) that the principal market for the natural product is outside the province of production; or (b) that some part of the product produced may be exported. (5) Every scheme shall state: (a) the natural product to be the subject of the scheme of regulation; (b) the proposed scheme in sufficient detail, including arrangements for a poll, if one is proposed, and for organization and administration under the supervision of the Board to enable consideration of the expediency thereof; (c) the powers hereinbefore mentioned which it is proposed shall be exercised by the local board under the Board; (d) if the scheme is to relate only to the product of a part of Canada, the geographical limits of such territory; (e) full information respecting the quality of the said product produced and the markets therefor; (f) whether the scheme is to relate to the whole of the regulated product or to such part as is marketable outside the province of production or to such part as is exported from Canada; (g) the number of persons who shall comprise the local board and the basis of their selection; (h) the name and number of the local board; the place and address of the head office; the chief executive officers; the quorum required to approve any order or resolution; and how vacancies are to be filled; (i) any other information required by regulation or by the Minister.

(6) Before any scheme is approved the Governor in Council shall be satisfied: (a) that the principal market for the natural product is outside the province of production; or (b) that some part of the product produced may be exported. (5) Every scheme shall state: (a) the natural product to be the subject of the scheme of regulation; (b) the proposed scheme in sufficient detail, including arrangements for a poll, if one is proposed, and for organization and administration under the supervision of the Board to enable consideration of the expediency thereof; (c) the powers hereinbefore mentioned which it is proposed shall be exercised by the local board under the Board; (d) if the scheme is to relate only to the product of a part of Canada, the geographical limits of such territory; (e) full information respecting the quality of the said product produced and the markets therefor; (f) whether the scheme is to relate to the whole of the regulated product or to such part as is marketable outside the province of production or to such part as is exported from Canada; (g) the number of persons who shall comprise the local board and the basis of their selection; (h) the name and number of the local board; the place and address of the head office; the chief executive officers; the quorum required to approve any order or resolution; and how vacancies are to be filled; (i) any other information required by regulation or by the Minister.

(6) Before any scheme is approved the Governor in Council shall be satisfied: (a) that the principal market for the natural product is outside the province of production; or (b) that some part of the product produced may be exported. (5) Every scheme shall state: (a) the natural product to be the subject of the scheme of regulation; (b) the proposed scheme in sufficient detail, including arrangements for a poll, if one is proposed, and for organization and administration under the supervision of the Board to enable consideration of the expediency thereof; (c) the powers hereinbefore mentioned which it is proposed shall be exercised by the local board under the Board; (d) if the scheme is to relate only to the product of a part of Canada, the geographical limits of such territory; (e) full information respecting the quality of the said product produced and the markets therefor; (f) whether the scheme is to relate to the whole of the regulated product or to such part as is marketable outside the province of production or to such part as is exported from Canada; (g) the number of persons who shall comprise the local board and the basis of their selection; (h) the name and number of the local board; the place and address of the head office; the chief executive officers; the quorum required to approve any order or resolution; and how vacancies are to be filled; (i) any other information required by regulation or by the Minister.

EDITORIAL NOTES

Read our Fox Notes today.

Maine has gone wet again by 12,225 to 7,996.

Local option is again being preached in Ontario.

More people were in the city yesterday than for many a day—roads good, weather excellent.

The Maritime Synod of the Presbyterian Church in Canada meets here next month.

The newly constituted Maritime Commission will go into action next month with a view to having its report ready for the consideration of Parliament in the new year.

A Toronto bank clerk who is alleged to have faked a hold up and robbery of \$4,800 at the noon hour, is now under arrest in the Hospital with a broken head—how come by not yet explained. The bank manager and accountant were at lunch at the time.

Congratulations to Hon. G. S. Sharp on his election to the Presidency of the Good Roads Association. Mr. Sharp has a reputation for leadership in every line he has chosen to follow—in business, in fox breeding, in agriculture, and last, but not least, in road making and road maintenance.

Premier Hepburn in a political speech in Toronto said Premier Bennett had declared he would find markets for Canadian goods or die in the attempt. "If he meant die physically," stated Mr. Hepburn, "I hope he gives his funeral job to Mayor Stewart, because he is going back to undertaking quickly." And these be your modern statesman, O, Ontario!

Sir Thomas White was here once back in 1914 and carried away a very pleasant recollection of his visit. A half-brother, Mr. M. E. Nichols, managing director of the Winnipeg Tribune and Past President of the Canadian Press Association was here with the Maritime members in July, and he too was greatly impressed by his visit. He declared he felt like transferring his home from Winnipeg to Charlottetown.

President Roosevelt is having a

Notes By The Way

After William T. Bingham, rural mail carrier, out of Slippery Rock, Pa., retired, he totaled up some records he had kept during his 33 years of service. These show he had travelled more than 300,000 miles, had worn out six horses and four automobiles, named 15 babies for residents along his route weighed 24 babies with the scales he carried and was matchmaker for two romances.

From the first newspaper men from the United States were confronted with almost unprecedented difficulties for a story of this kind. Ontario's Provincial Attorney-General, Arthur W. Roebuck, sent word at once to all police authorities that not a single item of information was to be given to the press except through his office in Toronto, 120 miles from London. The censorship was rigid and unbreakable, a pure example of British discipline. Canadian officials took the stand that the press hindered the capture of the criminals, but did not attempt to expound this theory—American families have been accustomed to beg or order the police to keep off the case until the victim is returned, no matter what the cost. In Canada the police have demanded complete control over the family. In America the public is given full details of the case as they are obtained. In Canada the police kept even relatives and friends at a distance.—Wayne W. Parrish in the Literary Digest.

We ought not to speak of the benefits which we have conferred; to remind men of them is to ask them to return them. We should not obtrude them or recall the memory of them; we should only remind a man of what we have given him by giving him something else. We ought not even tell others of our good deeds.—Seneca.

Before the American College of Physicians, Dr. Nathaniel Kleitman undertook to expound the mystery of sleep. Pronouncing sleep the gift of heaven the speaker exhibited no sort of resentment when some members of his audience took "forty winks" during his address and thus, in person, adopted the recipe and corroborated his own contention that all human folk are better for taking advantage of a refreshing nap.

Surely the present time cannot be considered suitable for any such experiments in Hong Kong, where a large part of the population is not Christian, and yet lacks Christian humanity. Murders of most brutal descriptions have been committed here, and the law is very glad to bring the culprit to heel when it can catch them. In America leniency was one of the causes of the flourishing state of gangsters, and it was not until the grand jury was brought in with powers to hang even kidnapers that some of these evils were overcome. The death penalty is a very great deterrent in this colony, where assassination by hired persons has happened on more than one occasion. While sympathizing with the Bishop's plea, we can hardly think that Hong Kong is a suitable place to start an experiment. It would be better to try it in England first.—Hong Kong Press.

A lost boy found on the streets of Philadelphia is proving one of the toughest problems ever put up to the police department of that city. He is deaf and dumb and unable to write. He cannot tell his name or address. In this colony, where assassination by hired persons has happened on more than one occasion. While sympathizing with the Bishop's plea, we can hardly think that Hong Kong is a suitable place to start an experiment. It would be better to try it in England first.—Hong Kong Press.

The avowed efforts of many of our public men, as well as those of most of our financiers and of all of our pacifists, to "put Germany on her feet" succeeded in just this one thing: Letting Germany off Reparations. She had previously, by a fraudulent bankruptcy which ruined her own saving classes, got rid of her internal debt. After she was formally released from her treaty debts a Lausanne in 1932, the way was clear for her to re-arm and to repudiate service of the foreign loans that had been raised for her in England and America.—National Review.

"The two dominant impulses of youth are toward activity and toward some kind of collective association. Our failure to provide for these two impulses under the hard row to hoe, and it will be the sincere wish of all of us that he may have a happy issue out of all his troubles. Some of his severest critics are those of his own household. For example: Mr. Bainbridge Colby, former Democratic Secretary of State in President Wilson's Cabinet, told a meeting called by the American Coalition of Patriotic Societies that "submission, not freedom, is to be the future badge of the United States." Imposed regimentation, he declared, is to take the place of American ingenuity, and enterprise. Bureaucratic control, "even of our going out and coming in, is to weigh down the land once known as 'The Land of the Free. Collective farming, state operation of factories, and gradually the entire Soviet concept of a regimented life, are to be set up on our soil." Further than that, Mr. Colby stated that the Roosevelt administration wins at the party name of Democrat. From present indications in the cotton and kindred industries, about the only name the Government should adopt and emphasize is anti-communist.

That Body of Ours

By James W. Collins, M.D. TESTING THE ABILITY OF THE LIVER TO DO ITS WORK

Many individuals wonder just why they do not feel as full of vigor as others seem to be. They are not sick, eat fairly well, and sleep very well. In fact they find it easy to do their work during the day. Sometimes they have considerable "gas in the stomach," and may be a little dizzy.

The cause of these symptoms do not seem serious, is that the liver is not doing its work properly. As you know the liver is the most important organ in the body from the standpoint of the number and importance of the various jobs it has to do—clearing the blood of poisons, supplying the blood with coloring matter, storing sugar for future use, manufacturing bile to break up fats and stimulate bowel action. On account of its size—six pounds—it holds about one quarter of all the blood in the body in it all the time.

Now if the liver isn't working properly all these jobs—clearing the blood, storing sugar, making bile—are not being done properly and the symptoms mentioned above may arise.

Fortunately there is what is called the dye-test which shows whether or not the liver is working properly. The dye is put into a vein in amounts in proportion to the weight of the individual, and the time taken for the liver to clear this dye out of the blood is noted.

Dr. Zappacosta, before the Academy of Medicine and Surgery, Naples, recently described a method for the determination of "glycoxyamine" in the blood. He injected this substance into the veins, and fifteen minutes after the injection he no longer found it in the blood of forty-five normal individuals.

On the other hand in forty-six patients with various disturbances of the liver he observed that the substance is still found in the blood after the same period of time and even in a very noticeable quantity in most cases, and in amounts in proportion to the decreased ability of the liver to clear it.

Now the liver is an important organ; doing its work properly it makes life worth living, and not doing its work properly, even the smallest obstacle or job looms large. It would seem like good sense then to have our physician use the above or other methods to test your liver's ability to do its work, if you have any of the symptoms above mentioned.

changed conditions or rural as well as city life, is at least a partial measure of why we are getting unsatisfactory results in character development.—Rotarian Magazine

Hitler has announced that the world revolution is at an end. The world lies in his grasp. It will now form its own opinion. It would suit Hitler, the Nazis and Germany were this to be the case. The end of revolution would mean that, opposition overcome, Nazi-ism might proceed to constructive government. It might pull its Twenty-five Points out of its bag where they have been lying neglected, explain away those which may now prove inconvenient, such as "the creation and maintenance of a healthy middle class," and polish up what remains. If the revolutionary period of Nazi-ism is to continue much longer, it is probable that Hitler and the Nazis will be destroyed in a counter-revolution. The question is: can the Nazis hold their gains and calm the country? The fact that Hitler says so, does not make it true. The actual situation remains to be disclosed.

DODD'S KIDNEY PILLS. ALL KIDNEY DISEASES. BACKACHE. BLADDER TROUBLES. RHEUMATISM. 4087 THE PROPHET.

MACS PILE OINTMENT. Gives quick relief in all cases of Internal and External Piles. A safe and efficient remedy in the treatment of this wretched torturing and oft times stubborn disease. It brings almost instant relief from the itching, burning, stinging sensation of piles and is a positive cure.

MACS PILE OINTMENT. Gives quick relief in all cases of Internal and External Piles. A safe and efficient remedy in the treatment of this wretched torturing and oft times stubborn disease. It brings almost instant relief from the itching, burning, stinging sensation of piles and is a positive cure.

MACS PILE OINTMENT. Gives quick relief in all cases of Internal and External Piles. A safe and efficient remedy in the treatment of this wretched torturing and oft times stubborn disease. It brings almost instant relief from the itching, burning, stinging sensation of piles and is a positive cure.

PUBLIC FORUM

This column is open for the discussion by correspondents of questions of interest. The Charlottetown Guardian does not necessarily endorse the opinions of correspondents.

POTATO GROWERS

Sir—Mr. John B. Macfadaya's rambling letter, largely about his own personal affairs, in your issue of the 12th instant is a good sample of the confused ideas the management of the Potato Growers' Association has of business. The said Association is the only business organization on this Island that has ever even thought of asking the Government to guarantee its liabilities and be responsible for its debt, and this fundamental fact makes the Association a proper subject for public criticism.

I am, Sir, etc. JOHN McDONALD Bear River, Sept. 13, 1934.

SENATOR HUGHES AND HIS CHARGE

Sir—"The charge is that the Potato Growers' Association, because of the somewhat cutting policy it followed and in part because of the connection it had with a man in New York named J. LeRoy Dyal took out of the potato farmers of this Province over a million dollars." So alleges Senator Hughes, and now he wants a Royal Commission to investigate. I request I don't see any great offence. What harm is it to demand an investigation by Commission? Yet some people appear greatly offended. I wonder why? If Mr. Hughes fails to prove his charge so much the worse for him and so much the better for the Association, and I believe as between Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is not encouraged. The Association and all its directors are surely not sacrosanct. They are the legitimate objects of praise or blame. Mr. Hughes and the Association the latter is in greater need of added prestige. Yet if Mr. Hughes is not sneered at and slighted he certainly is