

100% PURE

Fragrant and Flavoury

A teapot goodness unobtainable by ordinary means elsewhere.

"SALADA" TEA

IS USED BY MILLIONS DAILY.

Brown label 75c lb. Orange Pekoe Blend 85c lb.

WEDDING BELLS

St. Michael's Church, Iona was the scene of a very happy event on Wednesday, Aug. 11th inst. The numerous presents received when Miss Annie Loretta Mooney of that place was united in the holy bonds of matrimony to Mr. Eugene Joseph Dunn of Montague. The ceremony was performed by the pastor, Rev. P. S. Duffy. The bride looked charming in a beautiful costume of almond crepe de chine with hat to match. She was attended by her sister, Miss Estella Mooney of Concord, N. H., who wore a charming suit of figured georgette crepe with hat to match. The groom was supported by his cousin, Mr. Ambrose Halloran of Greenfield. After the ceremony the happy couple motored to the bride's home where a daily breakfast was served. The party then motored to Charlottetown for dinner returning in the evening to the groom's home where an elegant supper was awaiting them. A number of guests were present to welcome the newly wedded couple and the remainder of the evening was spent in dancing and other amusements, till the wee small hours when all repaired to their homes, wishing Mr. and Mrs. Dunn continued happiness. The numerous presents received testify to the popularity of the young couple. The guardian joins with their many friends in wishing Mr. and Mrs. Dunn long years of wedded bliss.

(Patriot please copy.)

ONE FOR TEACHER

The Bishop of Manchester, who was at one time a schoolmaster, tells the story of a teacher in an elementary school who was trying to impress on the children how important had been the discovering of the law of gravitation. Sir Isaac Newton was sitting on the ground. An apple fell on his head, and from that he discovered gravitation.

"Just think, children," she added, "isn't that wonderful?"

A small boy replied "Yes, miss, and if he had been sittin' in the schoolroom lookin' at his books, he wouldn't never have discovered nothin'."

Central Guardian

SHIRTS, Sweaters half price at Montreal Sales Co. 1510-8-25-31.

ALL CLOTHING half price. Montreal Sales Co. 1510-8-25-31.

ED'S TAXI day and night service. Phone 687 or 208-J.

ROOP'S MARKET Phone 421 and 389 also double delivery service. 1486-8-24-51.

BORDEN SERVICE—Rev. J. B. Daggett will preach at Borden at 11 a. m.

AT HOME—Mrs. Dr. Carson will be at home, Friday, Aug. 27th from 4 to 6 p. m. at 4 Brighton Road. 1530-8-26-21.

BELFAST UNITED CHURCH—Service in the Baptist Church, Belfast, Sunday, August 29 at 3.30 p. m.

NOW IS THE TIME. Not when it is too late to get one, renew or subscribe and get a dainty case of needles.

EVERY SUBSCRIBER renewing their subscription to the Guardian are receiving a case of needles free. Did you get yours?

READERS—Do you want a case of needles? Send in your renewal today and get one. Do not include extra for postage. This needle case is yours free with every renewal.

CRAPAUD AND SPRINGFIELD—Morning Prayer in Crapaud on Sunday at 11.00 a. m. and Evening Prayer in Springfield at 7.30 p. m. Sermon subject—Is knowledge power?

LONG CREEK—Church of England service in Long Creek on Sunday at 3.00 p. m. Cordial invitation to all.

CHURCH OF SCOTLAND—The Sacrament of the Lord's Supper will be dispensed in the Kirk, Kirkross on Sabbath 29th. Services at 10.30 and 6. Preparatory services on Thursday and Saturday at 7 p. m. Rev. R. J. Campbell will preach on Saturday and Sabbath evening.

Southern Queens and Kings

The highway from Belle River corner to Mr. Milburn Dixon's farm in Little Sands is responding nicely to the fine touches of the application of the MacMillan Bros. Wood Islands, a firm widely known for the excellent products turned out, their flour, bran, middlings, and so forth being of the highest quality and moderate in price. The patrons of this firm show a most laudable disposition to maintain it at full strength and this is well for the advantage is mutual as between themselves and the proprietors. An extensive and prosperous community is advantaged by this industry stretching from the extreme Eastern limit of Murray Harbour on the one hand to Point Prince, Eldon and beyond on the other hand from the shores of Northumberland Strait to the high hills of Iona on the north. Every care and attention is devoted by the firm to the interests of their clients and every effort is made to please and satisfy all customers. We should bear in mind that patronage should begin at home and only when local industries fail to supply, should patronage be given to outsiders, who neither pay taxes here nor do anything to support local needs.

When It's Drugs

Mr. Murdoch MacDonald formerly of Little Sands but now of New York is paying a short visit to his brother, Mr. William D. MacDonald of the former place.

Mr. Ed. Mosher and family are now residing in Glen Forest.

Lumbering is going on apace on the MacKinnon property at Wood Islands.

The death of Charles MacPherson of Wood Islands West will be mourned by a large circle of friends who hold him in much esteem.

An auction sale of the real and personal property of the aforementioned Charles MacPherson, deceased, was held on Saturday, 9th instant. H. Nelson and son conducted the sale.

Harvest next. The hay crop was better than last year's taking it all round. Complaints are heard about the grain, particularly oats. Rust is present in many fields. Potatoes give promise of a fine yield. Turnips are showing a vigorous growth.

We have had some summer weather after all. —S.

Mr. Geo. D. Pope, M.L.A., Gives Facts and Figures at the Joint Meeting at Miscouche Tuesday Evening Last

Mr. G. D. Pope, M.L.A., made an excellent speech at Miscouche on Tuesday evening last. Mr. Pope said: "This is the first opportunity I have had of appearing on a platform at Miscouche, and I wish to thank the electors for the occasion to support they gave me in the bye-election for the Local House last January. I was not as well known at the county polls as in Summerside, and when the returns from Miscouche and St. Nicholas were received I was very proud of the vote polled in this settlement. Most people tell you that figures are dry reading, but if we want to understand the financial condition of our country, if we want to know whether Mackenzie King has managed the affairs of Canada in a business-like manner, let us turn to the Public Accounts of Canada, a copy of which I hold in my hand. What was the total expenditure for 1925? It was \$339,903,000. In 1914 (year before the War) it was \$186,241,000, or an increase in 1925 over 1914 of \$153,662,000. Now, how about the cost of the War? The War cost Canada \$1,594,000,000. Who paid that? The Borden and Meighen Governments paid \$1,687,000,000 of that amount. From 1921 to 1925 Mackenzie King's Government paid just \$6,950,000 of War expenditure. Now, gentlemen, let us look at this thing square in the face. The year 1916 was the second year of the War. The expenditure of Canada in that year was \$339,702,000. Sir Robert Borden was in power in 1916. Mackenzie King it was \$339,903,000, \$200,000 more. In 1916 Borden paid out of the above amount \$166,198,000 on War expenditure. Mackenzie King, in 1925, paid \$506,931,000 or a difference on account of War expenditure between the two years of \$166,991,000. Why did not Mackenzie King reduce our taxes by that amount? No, it was spent in extravagant administration and in keeping his party in power. He had the chance of his lifetime to cut down the expenses of this country. How has he done it? Let us look at the Public Accounts again. Mackenzie King came into power in 1921. The net debt of Canada in 1921 was \$2,430,879,000. In 1925 it was \$2,417,438,000, an increase since Mackenzie King came into power of \$76,050,000. That is not all, gentlemen. How about our National Railways? The railway expenditure of Canada does not now appear in the Auditor General's Report, nor are the details given to the people of Canada as to how the system of arriving at the net debt of the country was changed. To quote the Auditors' own words they stated: "The usual method of arriving at the net debt of the Dominion has been modified by not including the 'Contingent Assets' as explained to Parliament in Mr. Saunders' Budget Speech." The result being that \$284,015,000 was charged up as a deficit and that amount placed in a Suspense Account. So you see, gentlemen, this is just a book-keeping adjustment, wiping out old debts which previous Governments, both Liberal and Conservative, had been responsible for, and the Meighen Government was not responsible for. Now let us once again examine this debt of 1920. How was it made up? War Expenditure \$346,612,000 Railway debts, etc., transferred to a suspense account and charged to debt account. Public Accounts 218,015,000 (See page 1 of 1920.) Actual deficit by Hon. Mr. Meighen on ordinary expenditure for 1920 43,710,000

Now, let us look at the taxes collected on account of the War. Business Taxes, Income Taxes, and Sales Taxes. Hon. Mackenzie King and some of his followers are very proud of the reduction in taxes last session on automobiles, and a few other articles. They don't say very much about increasing the Sales Tax from three to five per cent. Gentlemen, they will might reduce

the taxes. Why? Look at the War Taxes collected from the people of the country. During the seven years 1914 to 1921 the Conservatives collected in war taxes just \$54,000,000, an average of about \$5,000,000 yearly. During four years from 1921 to 1925 Mackenzie King's Government collected \$638,000,000, an average of about \$172,000,000 yearly. Now, in conclusion, let us look at Mackenzie King's record. In 1921 the result of the elections was as follows: King, 118 seats; Progressives and Labor, 67; Meighen, 50; total, 235 seats. King did not complete his full term of five years. In three years and a half he goes to the Governor-General, asks for dissolution and gives as his reason that he cannot carry on without stronger Liberal support in the House. What happened in 1925? King came back with 101 supporters, seventeen less than he had in 1921. Himself, the Progressives and Labor had 28 and the Conservatives 116, a total of 245 seats. Does anybody imagine for one minute if either Sir Wilfrid Laurier or Sir Wilfrid Laurier had received such a licking they would not have resigned five minutes after the result was known? Canada knows to its sorrow that this is what Mackenzie King should have done, but in the interest of the country and also in the interest of the Liberal party. Mr. King chose to remain and try and carry on. The methods used to entice the Progressives to support the Liberal Government will be regretted by the people of Canada long after Mr. Mackenzie King has disappeared from public life. The investigation into the Customs Department proved that that department was so mismanaged that the Progressives went back on Mr. King and the Liberal Government had to resign. Mr. King has left his native province of Ontario and zone to the northern end of Saskatchewan to find a seat. The province of Saskatchewan now has three ex-Ministers—King, Dunning and Motherwell, while the three Maritime Provinces have not even one ex-Minister—even Hon. "Ned" Macdonald has deserted the ship. Now, Electors, in the interest of the Maritime Provinces, it is up to you to send Hon. Arthur Meighen back to Ottawa with a strong stable government, and the way to do it is to give Mr. Watt a good majority on the 14th September next.

Mr. G. D. Pope, M.L.A., made an excellent speech at Miscouche on Tuesday evening last. Mr. Pope said: "This is the first opportunity I have had of appearing on a platform at Miscouche, and I wish to thank the electors for the occasion to support they gave me in the bye-election for the Local House last January. I was not as well known at the county polls as in Summerside, and when the returns from Miscouche and St. Nicholas were received I was very proud of the vote polled in this settlement. Most people tell you that figures are dry reading, but if we want to understand the financial condition of our country, if we want to know whether Mackenzie King has managed the affairs of Canada in a business-like manner, let us turn to the Public Accounts of Canada, a copy of which I hold in my hand. What was the total expenditure for 1925? It was \$339,903,000. In 1914 (year before the War) it was \$186,241,000, or an increase in 1925 over 1914 of \$153,662,000. Now, how about the cost of the War? The War cost Canada \$1,594,000,000. Who paid that? The Borden and Meighen Governments paid \$1,687,000,000 of that amount. From 1921 to 1925 Mackenzie King's Government paid just \$6,950,000 of War expenditure. Now, gentlemen, let us look at this thing square in the face. The year 1916 was the second year of the War. The expenditure of Canada in that year was \$339,702,000. Sir Robert Borden was in power in 1916. Mackenzie King it was \$339,903,000, \$200,000 more. In 1916 Borden paid out of the above amount \$166,198,000 on War expenditure. Mackenzie King, in 1925, paid \$506,931,000 or a difference on account of War expenditure between the two years of \$166,991,000. Why did not Mackenzie King reduce our taxes by that amount? No, it was spent in extravagant administration and in keeping his party in power. He had the chance of his lifetime to cut down the expenses of this country. How has he done it? Let us look at the Public Accounts again. Mackenzie King came into power in 1921. The net debt of Canada in 1921 was \$2,430,879,000. In 1925 it was \$2,417,438,000, an increase since Mackenzie King came into power of \$76,050,000. That is not all, gentlemen. How about our National Railways? The railway expenditure of Canada does not now appear in the Auditor General's Report, nor are the details given to the people of Canada as to how the system of arriving at the net debt of the country was changed. To quote the Auditors' own words they stated: "The usual method of arriving at the net debt of the Dominion has been modified by not including the 'Contingent Assets' as explained to Parliament in Mr. Saunders' Budget Speech." The result being that \$284,015,000 was charged up as a deficit and that amount placed in a Suspense Account. So you see, gentlemen, this is just a book-keeping adjustment, wiping out old debts which previous Governments, both Liberal and Conservative, had been responsible for, and the Meighen Government was not responsible for. Now let us once again examine this debt of 1920. How was it made up? War Expenditure \$346,612,000 Railway debts, etc., transferred to a suspense account and charged to debt account. Public Accounts 218,015,000 (See page 1 of 1920.) Actual deficit by Hon. Mr. Meighen on ordinary expenditure for 1920 43,710,000

Mr. G. D. Pope, M.L.A., made an excellent speech at Miscouche on Tuesday evening last. Mr. Pope said: "This is the first opportunity I have had of appearing on a platform at Miscouche, and I wish to thank the electors for the occasion to support they gave me in the bye-election for the Local House last January. I was not as well known at the county polls as in Summerside, and when the returns from Miscouche and St. Nicholas were received I was very proud of the vote polled in this settlement. Most people tell you that figures are dry reading, but if we want to understand the financial condition of our country, if we want to know whether Mackenzie King has managed the affairs of Canada in a business-like manner, let us turn to the Public Accounts of Canada, a copy of which I hold in my hand. What was the total expenditure for 1925? It was \$339,903,000. In 1914 (year before the War) it was \$186,241,000, or an increase in 1925 over 1914 of \$153,662,000. Now, how about the cost of the War? The War cost Canada \$1,594,000,000. Who paid that? The Borden and Meighen Governments paid \$1,687,000,000 of that amount. From 1921 to 1925 Mackenzie King's Government paid just \$6,950,000 of War expenditure. Now, gentlemen, let us look at this thing square in the face. The year 1916 was the second year of the War. The expenditure of Canada in that year was \$339,702,000. Sir Robert Borden was in power in 1916. Mackenzie King it was \$339,903,000, \$200,000 more. In 1916 Borden paid out of the above amount \$166,198,000 on War expenditure. Mackenzie King, in 1925, paid \$506,931,000 or a difference on account of War expenditure between the two years of \$166,991,000. Why did not Mackenzie King reduce our taxes by that amount? No, it was spent in extravagant administration and in keeping his party in power. He had the chance of his lifetime to cut down the expenses of this country. How has he done it? Let us look at the Public Accounts again. Mackenzie King came into power in 1921. The net debt of Canada in 1921 was \$2,430,879,000. In 1925 it was \$2,417,438,000, an increase since Mackenzie King came into power of \$76,050,000. That is not all, gentlemen. How about our National Railways? The railway expenditure of Canada does not now appear in the Auditor General's Report, nor are the details given to the people of Canada as to how the system of arriving at the net debt of the country was changed. To quote the Auditors' own words they stated: "The usual method of arriving at the net debt of the Dominion has been modified by not including the 'Contingent Assets' as explained to Parliament in Mr. Saunders' Budget Speech." The result being that \$284,015,000 was charged up as a deficit and that amount placed in a Suspense Account. So you see, gentlemen, this is just a book-keeping adjustment, wiping out old debts which previous Governments, both Liberal and Conservative, had been responsible for, and the Meighen Government was not responsible for. Now let us once again examine this debt of 1920. How was it made up? War Expenditure \$346,612,000 Railway debts, etc., transferred to a suspense account and charged to debt account. Public Accounts 218,015,000 (See page 1 of 1920.) Actual deficit by Hon. Mr. Meighen on ordinary expenditure for 1920 43,710,000

Mr. G. D. Pope, M.L.A., made an excellent speech at Miscouche on Tuesday evening last. Mr. Pope said: "This is the first opportunity I have had of appearing on a platform at Miscouche, and I wish to thank the electors for the occasion to support they gave me in the bye-election for the Local House last January. I was not as well known at the county polls as in Summerside, and when the returns from Miscouche and St. Nicholas were received I was very proud of the vote polled in this settlement. Most people tell you that figures are dry reading, but if we want to understand the financial condition of our country, if we want to know whether Mackenzie King has managed the affairs of Canada in a business-like manner, let us turn to the Public Accounts of Canada, a copy of which I hold in my hand. What was the total expenditure for 1925? It was \$339,903,000. In 1914 (year before the War) it was \$186,241,000, or an increase in 1925 over 1914 of \$153,662,000. Now, how about the cost of the War? The War cost Canada \$1,594,000,000. Who paid that? The Borden and Meighen Governments paid \$1,687,000,000 of that amount. From 1921 to 1925 Mackenzie King's Government paid just \$6,950,000 of War expenditure. Now, gentlemen, let us look at this thing square in the face. The year 1916 was the second year of the War. The expenditure of Canada in that year was \$339,702,000. Sir Robert Borden was in power in 1916. Mackenzie King it was \$339,903,000, \$200,000 more. In 1916 Borden paid out of the above amount \$166,198,000 on War expenditure. Mackenzie King, in 1925, paid \$506,931,000 or a difference on account of War expenditure between the two years of \$166,991,000. Why did not Mackenzie King reduce our taxes by that amount? No, it was spent in extravagant administration and in keeping his party in power. He had the chance of his lifetime to cut down the expenses of this country. How has he done it? Let us look at the Public Accounts again. Mackenzie King came into power in 1921. The net debt of Canada in 1921 was \$2,430,879,000. In 1925 it was \$2,417,438,000, an increase since Mackenzie King came into power of \$76,050,000. That is not all, gentlemen. How about our National Railways? The railway expenditure of Canada does not now appear in the Auditor General's Report, nor are the details given to the people of Canada as to how the system of arriving at the net debt of the country was changed. To quote the Auditors' own words they stated: "The usual method of arriving at the net debt of the Dominion has been modified by not including the 'Contingent Assets' as explained to Parliament in Mr. Saunders' Budget Speech." The result being that \$284,015,000 was charged up as a deficit and that amount placed in a Suspense Account. So you see, gentlemen, this is just a book-keeping adjustment, wiping out old debts which previous Governments, both Liberal and Conservative, had been responsible for, and the Meighen Government was not responsible for. Now let us once again examine this debt of 1920. How was it made up? War Expenditure \$346,612,000 Railway debts, etc., transferred to a suspense account and charged to debt account. Public Accounts 218,015,000 (See page 1 of 1920.) Actual deficit by Hon. Mr. Meighen on ordinary expenditure for 1920 43,710,000

Mr. G. D. Pope, M.L.A., made an excellent speech at Miscouche on Tuesday evening last. Mr. Pope said: "This is the first opportunity I have had of appearing on a platform at Miscouche, and I wish to thank the electors for the occasion to support they gave me in the bye-election for the Local House last January. I was not as well known at the county polls as in Summerside, and when the returns from Miscouche and St. Nicholas were received I was very proud of the vote polled in this settlement. Most people tell you that figures are dry reading, but if we want to understand the financial condition of our country, if we want to know whether Mackenzie King has managed the affairs of Canada in a business-like manner, let us turn to the Public Accounts of Canada, a copy of which I hold in my hand. What was the total expenditure for 1925? It was \$339,903,000. In 1914 (year before the War) it was \$186,241,000, or an increase in 1925 over 1914 of \$153,662,000. Now, how about the cost of the War? The War cost Canada \$1,594,000,000. Who paid that? The Borden and Meighen Governments paid \$1,687,000,000 of that amount. From 1921 to 1925 Mackenzie King's Government paid just \$6,950,000 of War expenditure. Now, gentlemen, let us look at this thing square in the face. The year 1916 was the second year of the War. The expenditure of Canada in that year was \$339,702,000. Sir Robert Borden was in power in 1916. Mackenzie King it was \$339,903,000, \$200,000 more. In 1916 Borden paid out of the above amount \$166,198,000 on War expenditure. Mackenzie King, in 1925, paid \$506,931,000 or a difference on account of War expenditure between the two years of \$166,991,000. Why did not Mackenzie King reduce our taxes by that amount? No, it was spent in extravagant administration and in keeping his party in power. He had the chance of his lifetime to cut down the expenses of this country. How has he done it? Let us look at the Public Accounts again. Mackenzie King came into power in 1921. The net debt of Canada in 1921 was \$2,430,879,000. In 1925 it was \$2,417,438,000, an increase since Mackenzie King came into power of \$76,050,000. That is not all, gentlemen. How about our National Railways? The railway expenditure of Canada does not now appear in the Auditor General's Report, nor are the details given to the people of Canada as to how the system of arriving at the net debt of the country was changed. To quote the Auditors' own words they stated: "The usual method of arriving at the net debt of the Dominion has been modified by not including the 'Contingent Assets' as explained to Parliament in Mr. Saunders' Budget Speech." The result being that \$284,015,000 was charged up as a deficit and that amount placed in a Suspense Account. So you see, gentlemen, this is just a book-keeping adjustment, wiping out old debts which previous Governments, both Liberal and Conservative, had been responsible for, and the Meighen Government was not responsible for. Now let us once again examine this debt of 1920. How was it made up? War Expenditure \$346,612,000 Railway debts, etc., transferred to a suspense account and charged to debt account. Public Accounts 218,015,000 (See page 1 of 1920.) Actual deficit by Hon. Mr. Meighen on ordinary expenditure for 1920 43,710,000

Mr. G. D. Pope, M.L.A., made an excellent speech at Miscouche on Tuesday evening last. Mr. Pope said: "This is the first opportunity I have had of appearing on a platform at Miscouche, and I wish to thank the electors for the occasion to support they gave me in the bye-election for the Local House last January. I was not as well known at the county polls as in Summerside, and when the returns from Miscouche and St. Nicholas were received I was very proud of the vote polled in this settlement. Most people tell you that figures are dry reading, but if we want to understand the financial condition of our country, if we want to know whether Mackenzie King has managed the affairs of Canada in a business-like manner, let us turn to the Public Accounts of Canada, a copy of which I hold in my hand. What was the total expenditure for 1925? It was \$339,903,000. In 1914 (year before the War) it was \$186,241,000, or an increase in 1925 over 1914 of \$153,662,000. Now, how about the cost of the War? The War cost Canada \$1,594,000,000. Who paid that? The Borden and Meighen Governments paid \$1,687,000,000 of that amount. From 1921 to 1925 Mackenzie King's Government paid just \$6,950,000 of War expenditure. Now, gentlemen, let us look at this thing square in the face. The year 1916 was the second year of the War. The expenditure of Canada in that year was \$339,702,000. Sir Robert Borden was in power in 1916. Mackenzie King it was \$339,903,000, \$200,000 more. In 1916 Borden paid out of the above amount \$166,198,000 on War expenditure. Mackenzie King, in 1925, paid \$506,931,000 or a difference on account of War expenditure between the two years of \$166,991,000. Why did not Mackenzie King reduce our taxes by that amount? No, it was spent in extravagant administration and in keeping his party in power. He had the chance of his lifetime to cut down the expenses of this country. How has he done it? Let us look at the Public Accounts again. Mackenzie King came into power in 1921. The net debt of Canada in 1921 was \$2,430,879,000. In 1925 it was \$2,417,438,000, an increase since Mackenzie King came into power of \$76,050,000. That is not all, gentlemen. How about our National Railways? The railway expenditure of Canada does not now appear in the Auditor General's Report, nor are the details given to the people of Canada as to how the system of arriving at the net debt of the country was changed. To quote the Auditors' own words they stated: "The usual method of arriving at the net debt of the Dominion has been modified by not including the 'Contingent Assets' as explained to Parliament in Mr. Saunders' Budget Speech." The result being that \$284,015,000 was charged up as a deficit and that amount placed in a Suspense Account. So you see, gentlemen, this is just a book-keeping adjustment, wiping out old debts which previous Governments, both Liberal and Conservative, had been responsible for, and the Meighen Government was not responsible for. Now let us once again examine this debt of 1920. How was it made up? War Expenditure \$346,612,000 Railway debts, etc., transferred to a suspense account and charged to debt account. Public Accounts 218,015,000 (See page 1 of 1920.) Actual deficit by Hon. Mr. Meighen on ordinary expenditure for 1920 43,710,000

Mr. G. D. Pope, M.L.A., made an excellent speech at Miscouche on Tuesday evening last. Mr. Pope said: "This is the first opportunity I have had of appearing on a platform at Miscouche, and I wish to thank the electors for the occasion to support they gave me in the bye-election for the Local House last January. I was not as well known at the county polls as in Summerside, and when the returns from Miscouche and St. Nicholas were received I was very proud of the vote polled in this settlement. Most people tell you that figures are dry reading, but if we want to understand the financial condition of our country, if we want to know whether Mackenzie King has managed the affairs of Canada in a business-like manner, let us turn to the Public Accounts of Canada, a copy of which I hold in my hand. What was the total expenditure for 1925? It was \$339,903,000. In 1914 (year before the War) it was \$186,241,000, or an increase in 1925 over 1914 of \$153,662,000. Now, how about the cost of the War? The War cost Canada \$1,594,000,000. Who paid that? The Borden and Meighen Governments paid \$1,687,000,000 of that amount. From 1921 to 1925 Mackenzie King's Government paid just \$6,950,000 of War expenditure. Now, gentlemen, let us look at this thing square in the face. The year 1916 was the second year of the War. The expenditure of Canada in that year was \$339,702,000. Sir Robert Borden was in power in 1916. Mackenzie King it was \$339,903,000, \$200,000 more. In 1916 Borden paid out of the above amount \$166,198,000 on War expenditure. Mackenzie King, in 1925, paid \$506,931,000 or a difference on account of War expenditure between the two years of \$166,991,000. Why did not Mackenzie King reduce our taxes by that amount? No, it was spent in extravagant administration and in keeping his party in power. He had the chance of his lifetime to cut down the expenses of this country. How has he done it? Let us look at the Public Accounts again. Mackenzie King came into power in 1921. The net debt of Canada in 1921 was \$2,430,879,000. In 1925 it was \$2,417,438,000, an increase since Mackenzie King came into power of \$76,050,000. That is not all, gentlemen. How about our National Railways? The railway expenditure of Canada does not now appear in the Auditor General's Report, nor are the details given to the people of Canada as to how the system of arriving at the net debt of the country was changed. To quote the Auditors' own words they stated: "The usual method of arriving at the net debt of the Dominion has been modified by not including the 'Contingent Assets' as explained to Parliament in Mr. Saunders' Budget Speech." The result being that \$284,015,000 was charged up as a deficit and that amount placed in a Suspense Account. So you see, gentlemen, this is just a book-keeping adjustment, wiping out old debts which previous Governments, both Liberal and Conservative, had been responsible for, and the Meighen Government was not responsible for. Now let us once again examine this debt of 1920. How was it made up? War Expenditure \$346,612,000 Railway debts, etc., transferred to a suspense account and charged to debt account. Public Accounts 218,015,000 (See page 1 of 1920.) Actual deficit by Hon. Mr. Meighen on ordinary expenditure for 1920 43,710,000

Mr. G. D. Pope, M.L.A., made an excellent speech at Miscouche on Tuesday evening last. Mr. Pope said: "This is the first opportunity I have had of appearing on a platform at Miscouche, and I wish to thank the electors for the occasion to support they gave me in the bye-election for the Local House last January. I was not as well known at the county polls as in Summerside, and when the returns from Miscouche and St. Nicholas were received I was very proud of the vote polled in this settlement. Most people tell you that figures are dry reading, but if we want to understand the financial condition of our country, if we want to know whether Mackenzie King has managed the affairs of Canada in a business-like manner, let us turn to the Public Accounts of Canada, a copy of which I hold in my hand. What was the total expenditure for 1925? It was \$339,903,000. In 1914 (year before the War) it was \$186,241,000, or an increase in 1925 over 1914 of \$153,662,000. Now, how about the cost of the War? The War cost Canada \$1,594,000,000. Who paid that? The Borden and Meighen Governments paid \$1,687,000,000 of that amount. From 1921 to 1925 Mackenzie King's Government paid just \$6,950,000 of War expenditure. Now, gentlemen, let us look at this thing square in the face. The year 1916 was the second year of the War. The expenditure of Canada in that year was \$339,702,000. Sir Robert Borden was in power in 1916. Mackenzie King it was \$339,903,000, \$200,000 more. In 1916 Borden paid out of the above amount \$166,198,000 on War expenditure. Mackenzie King, in 1925, paid \$506,931,000 or a difference on account of War expenditure between the two years of \$166,991,000. Why did not Mackenzie King reduce our taxes by that amount? No, it was spent in extravagant administration and in keeping his party in power. He had the chance of his lifetime to cut down the expenses of this country. How has he done it? Let us look at the Public Accounts again. Mackenzie King came into power in 1921. The net debt of Canada in 1921 was \$2,430,879,000. In 1925 it was \$2,417,438,000, an increase since Mackenzie King came into power of \$76,050,000. That is not all, gentlemen. How about our National Railways? The railway expenditure of Canada does not now appear in the Auditor General's Report, nor are the details given to the people of Canada as to how the system of arriving at the net debt of the country was changed. To quote the Auditors' own words they stated: "The usual method of arriving at the net debt of the Dominion has been modified by not including the 'Contingent Assets' as explained to Parliament in Mr. Saunders' Budget Speech." The result being that \$284,015,000 was charged up as a deficit and that amount placed in a Suspense Account. So you see, gentlemen, this is just a book-keeping adjustment, wiping out old debts which previous Governments, both Liberal and Conservative, had been responsible for, and the Meighen Government was not responsible for. Now let us once again examine this debt of 1920. How was it made up? War Expenditure \$346,612,000 Railway debts, etc., transferred to a suspense account and charged to debt account. Public Accounts 218,015,000 (See page 1 of 1920.) Actual deficit by Hon. Mr. Meighen on ordinary expenditure for 1920 43,710,000

Mr. G. D. Pope, M.L.A., made an excellent speech at Miscouche on Tuesday evening last. Mr. Pope said: "This is the first opportunity I have had of appearing on a platform at Miscouche, and I wish to thank the electors for the occasion to support they gave me in the bye-election for the Local House last January. I was not as well known at the county polls as in Summerside, and when the returns from Miscouche and St. Nicholas were received I was very proud of the vote polled in this settlement. Most people tell you that figures are dry reading, but if we want to understand the financial condition of our country, if we want to know whether Mackenzie King has managed the affairs of Canada in a business-like manner, let us turn to the Public Accounts of Canada, a copy of which I hold in my hand. What was the total expenditure for 1925? It was \$339,903,000. In 1914 (year before the War) it was \$186,241,000, or an increase in 1925 over 1914 of \$153,662,000. Now, how about the cost of the War? The War cost Canada \$1,594,000,000. Who paid that? The Borden and Meighen Governments paid \$1,687,000,000 of that amount. From 1921 to 1925 Mackenzie King's Government paid just \$6,950,000 of War expenditure. Now, gentlemen, let us look at this thing square in the face. The year 1916 was the second year of the War. The expenditure of Canada in that year was \$339,702,000. Sir Robert Borden was in power in 1916. Mackenzie King it was \$339,903,000, \$200,000 more. In 1916 Borden paid out of the above amount \$166,198,000 on War expenditure. Mackenzie King, in 1925, paid \$506,931,000 or a difference on account of War expenditure between the two years of \$166,991,000. Why did not Mackenzie King reduce our taxes by that amount? No, it was spent in extravagant administration and in keeping his party in power. He had the chance of his lifetime to cut down the expenses of this country. How has he done it? Let us look at the Public Accounts again. Mackenzie King came into power in 1921. The net debt of Canada in 1921 was \$2,430,879,000. In 1925 it was \$2,417,438,000, an increase since Mackenzie King came into power of \$76,050,000. That is not all, gentlemen. How about our National Railways? The railway expenditure of Canada does not now appear in the Auditor General's Report, nor are the details given to the people of Canada as to how the system of arriving at the net debt of the country was changed. To quote the Auditors' own words they stated: "The usual method of arriving at the net debt of the Dominion has been modified by not including the 'Contingent Assets' as explained to Parliament in Mr. Saunders' Budget Speech." The result being that \$284,015,000 was charged up as a deficit and that amount placed in a Suspense Account. So you see, gentlemen, this is just a book-keeping adjustment, wiping out old debts which previous Governments, both Liberal and Conservative, had been responsible for, and the Meighen Government was not responsible for. Now let us once again examine this debt of 1920. How was it made up? War Expenditure \$346,612,000 Railway debts, etc., transferred to a suspense account and charged to debt account. Public Accounts 218,015,000 (See page 1 of 1920.) Actual deficit by Hon. Mr. Meighen on ordinary expenditure for 1920 43,710,000

Mr. G. D. Pope, M.L.A., made an excellent speech at Miscouche on Tuesday evening last. Mr. Pope said: "This is the first opportunity I have had of appearing on