

BOSTON AND NEW YORK ADS.

SMELTS AND EELS

Send them to us and get highest prices and daily returns. Write us for stencils. Quotations or any information you may require.

JOSEPH A. RICH & Co.

176 Atlantic Avenue, Boston, Mass.

S. B. MILLER & Co.

Wholesale Commission Fish Dealers Salmon, Mackerel, Smelts and Eels a specialty.

S. B. WILEY & SONS.

Transfer Agents, 7 Fulton Fish Market, New York

F. W. CROCKER

We Are Here for Business. CROCKER & WINSOR, Wholesale Commission Merchants in FISH

FISH

Of all kinds, 14 T. Wharf, BOSTON.

SPECIALTIES—Smelts, Eels, Mackerel, Bass and Lobsters.

Returns sent daily. Highest market prices. Try us for best results.

GEO. T. MOON

(Successor to Moon & Lanphear.)

Wholesale Commission Dealer in and shipper of all kinds of

Fresh Fish, Lobsters, &c.

Canadian Smelts

Eels a Specialty.

2 Fulton Wholesale Fish Market, NEW YORK.

Established 50 years. Careful personal attention given to all consignments.

BENJ. D. LUCE GEO. F. WHITE

B. D. LUCE & Co.

SUCCESSORS TO

F. G. KEENEY & CO.,

Wholesale Commission Dealers and Shippers of all kinds of

FRESH FISH,

Smelts and Eels a specialty.

146 Fulton Market, Beckman Street Side.

NEW YORK

We have our own freezers the premises, so we are in a position to handle any quantity of fish at any season.

7 1/2 Ave 3rd fl

Consignments Solicited Prompt Returns

JOHN DAIS

107 Fulton Market New York,

Wholesale Commission Fish Dealer.

FISH!

Smelts and Eels a specialty.

All correspondence promptly answered. Stencils sent on application.

S. B. WILEY & SONS,

Boston, Mass., Transfer Agents.

References: Colonial Trust Co., "Borough Bank of Brooklyn," or any Wholesale Fish House in the United States.

14 1/2 Ave 3rd fl

THE JOINED OF GOD

MUST NOT BE PUT ASSUNDER BY ANY MAN-MADE LAWS.

THE PERILS OF EASY DIVORCE.

Rev. Dr. Talmage Arraigns the United States' Flagrant, Besetting Sin, Easy Divorce, and Unsparringly Denounces the Incongruous Conflict of State Laws Upon the Subject—Only Way Out of the Immoral Tangle.

Entered according to Act of Parliament of Canada, in the year 1904, by William Bailly, of Toronto, at the Dep't of Agriculture, Ottawa.

Los Angeles, Cal., Jan. 10.—In this sermon the nation's flagrant, besetting sin, easy divorce, and the incongruous conflict of state laws on the subject are unsparringly characterized. The preacher indicates the only way out of this immoral tangle which is wrecking the home and desecrating the marriage altar. The text is Mark x, 9, "What therefore God hath joined together let not man put assunder."

As the civil war was the mortal clash between two conflicting ideas, so to-day we find conflicting ideas in mortal combat over the marriage altar. The one is the Christ idea, which declares that marriage is not a confederation that can be broken at will, but an indissoluble union. This idea asserts that after marriage the twin in every sense becomes one. The second idea declares that easy divorce is not a crime, but often, for the social good, a necessity. It says that when a man and a woman are unequally yoked together it is better that they be separated by law, even if the cause for separation be not so serious as that recognized in the Bible, and, more, that they may, if they wish, contract new marriages.

But, though James Bryce in his "American Commonwealth" affirms that the family as a unit is "the one fundamental and permanent problem of human life and society," yet everywhere, by reading the signs of the times, we can see that by easy divorce the sanctity of the home is imperiled. Everywhere the danger signals are being lifted, to prove that marriage is becoming more and more a mere temporary convenience. Carroll D. Wright in his labor statistics declares that in the year 1889 there were 36,072 divorces in the state of Illinois alone and that there was one divorce to every fifteen marriages. He went on to show that from 1867 to 1886 there were 328,716 divorces in the United States and that each year the proportion of divorces to the number of inhabitants in the United States was on the increase, just as the individual wealth of the Americans per capita increases year by year. So awful is the spread of this divorce evil and so blunted are becoming the once keen edges of public sentiment upon this question that Morgan Dix, who in no sense can be called a sensationalist, uttered last Thanksgiving Day this scathing invective against wholesale licensed libertinism, now being practiced through the wide open doors of the legal courts of our land: "The divorce evil is terrible. It is an evil we must try to uproot. Once upon a time if we ever mentioned the fact that a person had been divorced we spoke of it under our breath, as a subject not proper for conversation. We then regarded divorce as something closely related to disgrace, and that not long ago either."

Against the further spread and for the extermination of this divorce evil the Catholic Church is already up in arms. Against it only a few weeks ago all the Rhode Island ministers banded themselves together to give religious combat. Thus, as the public leaders of many denominations are fighting this undercurrent, and would be destroyer of the home, it is not inappropriate for me to speak a few words in reference to those legal and domestic causes which might hereafter make "easy divorce" an impossibility.

First, nothing can be accomplished in a material way unless there is national legislation or at least concerted action among the different state legislatures upon this subject. There must be all over the land harmonious and united legal action against the divorce evil. It should be made impossible for a man to get a divorce in one state which he could not obtain on the same ground in any one of the 3,000 divorce courts of the United States. All these courts ought to be in agreement as to what causes justify and what do not justify the dissolution of a marriage.

We recognize the necessity of the criminal courts of the United States working in harmony. Why should we not recognize the necessity of concerted action by divorce courts? Some years ago in Chicago a man killed his wife and burned her body in the vat of a sausage factory. Supposing after that crime he could have stepped across the state line and in Indiana defied the legal authorities of Illinois. What would have been the result? Supposing that a notable murderer could have walked a free man in Philadelphia after he had poisoned his child wife in New York City. Why, murder and outlawry would be everywhere in the United States running rampant. The only safety of life depends upon this fact: If you commit a murder in one state and then run away another state governor will recognize your extradition papers and allow you to be forcibly returned to the place where you committed the crime.

We recognize the necessity of concerted action between states on the murder question. We recognize also the necessity of concerted action between different nations and widely separated authorities in cases of theft as well as murder. A few years ago all that a cashier had to do after wrecking a bank was to cross the Canadian line and he was safe. But now men guilty of financial crimes

legally have no escape. A criminal in the United States is a criminal in England, a criminal in Canada is a criminal in France. To suppress forger and thief there must be concerted action between nations as well as states. Why, in the same way, should there not be concerted action between states in reference to the divorce law? But though concerted and harmonious action by the different state courts should be regarded as a necessity yet we find the actual conditions just the reverse. To illustrate how a man can defy the divorce courts of one state by living in another state, a prominent member of the Presbyterian General Assembly Committee told me that a short time ago a man died in the United States and left three legal widows. He left three widows, and he was not a believer in Brigham Young either! How was that? A few years ago this man went to a western state and there settled and married. After awhile he became tired of his wife and decided he would marry another woman. So this man left the state where he was then living and went into another state, got a divorce and there remarried. Of course the state of his former residence did not recognize the second marriage.

Time passed on, and this husband, tired of his second wife. He moved to another state, got a divorce and married a third wife. The marital disease with him by this time was becoming chronic. But now neither the first nor the second state where he used to live recognized his third marriage as legal. Time passed, and this husband of many wives died. What was the result? Each of his former marital companions inherited the property of the dead man which then existed in her own state! By law that man in three different states left three different wives, each a legal wife in her own state, but illegal in the other two states. Such is an instance of the ridiculous and infinite absurdities existing between the conflicting divorce courts of our land.

A second breakwater to dam back this submerging flood of national infamy: It is for the gospel ministers to protest against it by practice as well as by preaching. The pulpit cannot speak loudly and with the voice of a true leader unless at the same time it refuses to become a party to the national crime of easy divorce. What do I mean by this statement? That the minister himself shall not be a blatant and a brutal divorcee? Oh, no. No self respecting Christian church would allow her minister to continue engaged in her pitiful after a divorce escape. Paul writes, "A bishop must be blameless, the husband of one wife." No minister's life can be blameless when he turns his back upon his first wife for an unjust cause. But I do mean this: A minister should not officiate at the second marriage of a divorcee unless he himself knows positively that the divorced man was not culpable in the snapping of the marital bonds. By such a ministerial course public sentiment would be aroused against this national evil, and aroused quickly and permanently.

I have taken only lately this stand in reference to the clergyman's responsibility. Like hundreds and thousands of other ministers I have heretofore said to myself: "I am not in any way compelled to look into the personal characters of those whom I unite in marriage. When I used to live in New York state I had to ask the contracting parties a long list of questions and make a report to the county officials in reference to the same. But in the state of Illinois the clerk of the county court does that. He asks the questions. He gives the license. He is responsible for the marriage, and not I. But a short time ago, when talking to a brother minister upon the subject, he said: "You are wrong. You cannot shirk your responsibility in that way." Then I commenced to look at this responsibility in another way. I said to myself: "Yes, I am wrong. That county clerk cannot tell me what I ought to do. The paper he sends to me is only a license, a Governmental privilege, permitting me to marry the parties if I wish. I am not compelled so to do. For instance: The saloon keeper gets a city license and has the privilege of selling me a glass of whisky. But because it is lawful for me to buy whisky from him, that is no reason why I should drink it. Because the county clerk tells me that I can remarry a libertine, who has been sinfully divorced, that is no reason why I should tarnish the good name of my church by placing such a black record upon the session books. No, no, my brother ministers, we cannot thus shirk our responsibilities. You and I are responsible before God when we become officiating parties in the marriages of divorced persons. A religious marriage means infinitely more than a mere civil contract. If not, why do nearly all people desire to be married by a clergyman instead of by a police justice or a county judge?"

We are responsible as ministers. A legal divorce, in ninety-nine cases out of a hundred, is not sufficient ground for a church divorce. We must remember that the minister before the world stands as the representative of the church. Why, legal divorces are often obtained on the flimsiest excuses. Bishop Fallows, in a scathing attack upon this marital evil, quotes some of the most absurd and trivial causes on account of which men and women have been divorced. One woman got a legal divorce because her husband enlisted in the United States navy; another because her husband smoked and gave her headaches; another because her husband called her sister a thief; another because her husband did not like her front hair and cut part of it off. One husband secured a divorce because his wife refused to sew on his buttons; another because he told his wife he had found another woman whom he could love better. Think of any minister being guilty of such a heinous sin as to marry such divorces to other

BOSTON AND NEW YORK ADS.

Lynch & Co.

To Fishermen: It may seem quite new that there are ways of handling fish on Commission different or better than you have experienced. TRY US. Our careful attention and handling: prompt returns and results reached; not to speak of the itemized and satisfactory account sales, will be revelations to you. Our place of business is the finest in the city.

18 Fulton Fish Market, NEW YORK.

Consignments Solicited Prompt Returns

ROBBINS & MULKEY,

105 Fulton Market, New York.

WHOLESALE COMMISSION FISH DEALERS.

FISH! SMELTS AND EELS A SPECIALTY.

All correspondence promptly answered, stencils sent on application.

S. B. WILEY & SONS,

Boston, Mass. Transfer Agents

PRIOR & MAHONEY,

General Commission Merchants.

Fresh and Salt Fish, Potatoes, etc.

Special attention given to

Smelts, Eels, Salt Mackerel, Potatoes.

No. 10 Long Wharf, BOSTON, Mass.

PERKINS & BATES.

Wholesale and Commission Dealers in all kinds of FRESH FROZEN FISH

FISH

Consignment Solicited. Prompt Returns. Stencils and Prices on application.

NO. 7 T Wharf, BOSTON MASS

BARGLAY CLEMENTS Co.,

Commission Merchants, 176 Atlantic Ave., Boston.

Make your shipments of SMELTS; EELS &c., &c

to us and get the highest market prices and prompt returns.

GATES & NOYES

Commission Fish Dealers

144 Beckman Street,

NEW YORK CITY,

We make a specialty of Smelts in car or broken lots.

New York is one of the best markets for Eels in the world.

We handle them.

Correspondence solicited.

Highest market prices and

PROMPT RETURNS.

12-25 EOD, W3M PD

S. N. CHURCH CO.

Wholesale Commission FISH DEALERS.

In all kinds of Sea Food, 138 Beekman St., New York.

Daily Return

F. H. JOHNSON & CO.,

(INCORPORATED)

Wholesale Commission Dealers in all kinds of

FRESH FISH

SPECIALTIES:—Smelts, Eels, Lobsters, Bass and Game.

Goods shipped in care of S.B. Wiley & Sons, Transfer Agents, Boston, can be shipped without prepaying freight. Duty and charges will be paid at this end.

Cold Storage on premises.

Consignments solicited.

Stencils and instructions on application.

REFERENCES—Dunn's and Bradstreets, Mercantile Agencies.

148 Fulton Market,

Beekman St., New York.

10-20 1/2 W3M PD

JOSEPH CONLEY

Wholesale Commission Dealer in

All Kinds of Fresh and Salt Fish

Canadian Mackerel, Smelts and Eels are

176 ATLANTIC AVENUE, BOSTON.

Reur is made daily. Careful attention to a

12-25 EOD, W3M PD

SARGENT'S GEM Food Chopper. It saves Strength, Time, and Food. Simple, Durable, and easily cleaned. chops quickly and easily. fowl, fish, meat, vegetables, fruit, bread, and every kind of food. It does not mash, tear, grind or squeeze food, but chops it fine, coarse or medium. Has self-sharpening knives. You need it in your kitchen. Buy it here. FENNEL & CHANDLER Charlottetown