

**MAXIMS OF A MERCHANT**

A man who thinks six days too short in which to do business is a failure even if he makes money.

# THE CHARLOTTETOWN GUARDIAN

The People's Paper Read by Everybody

**MAXIMS OF A MERCHANT**

Over-eating was the original sin, when Mother Eve ate herself and Adam out of house and home.

Annual Subscriptions Delivered \$5.00  
By Mail, Canada and U. S. A. \$6.00

CHARLOTTETOWN, CANADA, SATURDAY, JULY 7, 1928

Charlottetown Guardian Two Cents  
Morning Guardian, Founded 1887

## APOSTOLIC DELEGATE TENDERED DINNER BY THE K. OF C.

Beautiful Allamor Graced With The Presence Of His Excellency At Splendid Banquet Yesterday At Which Over One Hundred Knights Were Present.

The chief event on the programme in connection with His Excellency's visit was the dinner tendered him by the Knights of Columbus at Allamor yesterday.

About one hundred guests, Dr. J. D. MacGuigan, Grand Knight, presided. On his right were His Excellency the Papal Delegate, and Monsignor Beazley, private secretary to His Excellency; Rev. James Murphy, Rector of Saint Dunstan's College, Dr. W. J. P. MacMillan.

On his left: His Lordship Bishop O'Leary, P. J. Casey, State Deputy, Right Reverend Monsignor MacDonald, Judge Arsenault, the remainder of this the main table was seated the clergy and the past Grand Knights of the K. of C.

Among the others present were: Hon. J. P. McIntyre, Rev. Fr. P. Walsh, Hon. A. A. Arsenault, Hon. Frank McPhee, J. J. Morris, Grand Knight, Summerside; District Deputy, Dr. J. A. McPhee, Rev. Fr. McQuade, Past Grand Knight Wm. Moran, Past Grand Knight W. J. Brown, E. J. Dalton, Summerside; Leonard McNeill, Summerside; W. Lawrence Smith, Rev. A. J. McIntyre, L. B. McMillan, Rev. Fr. Ray McKenzie, Rev. Fr. Michael Francis, Dr. G. L. Smith, John Lightizer, Rev. Fr. Frank McQuade, J. A. McDonald, J. J. McNally, Summerside; A. A. Hennessey.

The grounds and interior of the building were artistically decorated with the Papal colors and Union Jack's proudly floating in the breeze.

His Excellency, during the course of his address referred eloquently to the superb surroundings and the beauty of Allamor. He was especially impressed with the scenery and remarked that this dinner and associations thereof would always remain fresh in his memory.

Special waters were employed, unique in itself, in so far that all with an exception are graduates of Saint Dunstan's University. New York City. Desmond O'Leary, Joseph McMillan, Emmett O'Hanley, Leo Corcoran, Louis Dougan, Bennett McDonald, John McGuigan, Geo. McDonald, Gerald McQuigan.

Service was under the capable direction of Mr. Fred P. Duffy of New York and Mr. William Hughes of Allamor.

## Announcements, Coming Events, Meetings, Etc

- Hope River, Hope River, Saturday, July 7th. 6546-7-5-31
- Come to Hope River Tea July 7th. 6546-7-5-31
- Hope River Tea will be the biggest and best of the season, Saturday, July 7th. 6546-7-5-31
- Come to the "Tea" at Cavendish on July 28th. If not fine on the 28th. 6573-7-6-41.
- Notice - Moving Pictures at Webster's Corner Monday. Robert Wevers will step dance. Ice cream served. 6505-7-7-21
- Don't miss the concert in Fowall Hall, Tuesday, July 10th. Best city talent. If unfavourable come Wednesday. 6574
- The W. M. S. Presbyterial of the Presbyterian Church in Canada will be held in Murray Harbor North July 11th. Delegates going by train will be met at Murray River Station. 6580-7-6-21
- A meeting of the Executive of the W. M. S. Presbyterial will be held in Zion Church Tuesday evening, July 10th, at eight o'clock. 7-6-21
- Come to the play an Old Fashioned Mother in Sea View Hall, Monday evening, July 9th by Wed. Glasgow players. If not fine Wednesday evening. 6581-7-6-31
- L. O. A. -Boyne Orange Lodge will parade for Divine Service to Trinity United Church on Sunday evening, July 8th. Members will meet at the Lodge Rooms at 6:30 sharp. Visiting Brethren welcome. No change of hour. 6568-7-5-31
- L. O. A. -Boyne Orange Lodge will parade for Divine Service to Trinity United Church on Sunday evening, July 8th. Members will meet at the Lodge Rooms at 6:30 sharp. Visiting Brethren welcome. Note change of hour. The ladies of the L. O. B. A. are invited to meet the parade at the church. 6568-7-5-31
- Come to Highfield United Church festival, July 11th. 6625-7-7-31
- Come and hear the Bag Pipes at the Glasgow Road festival Monday, July 9. 6604
- Come to the ice-cream social in aid of the Alexandra Church at James' Wood, Hazelbrook, Wednesday, July 11th. If not fine Thursday. 6621-7-7-31
- Come to Brackley Ice Cream Social, Monday evening, July 9th in Reginald Bryenton's field. If not fine Tuesday. Tea and sandwiches also served. 6618-7-7-21
- Annual Meeting of West River Rural Telephone Co., takes place at Long Creek Schoolhouse on Tuesday, July 10th at 7:30 p. m. Artimas Betts, Secretary. 6613-7-7-31
- Edgehill Re-union at Holland Cove, Charlottetown Summer Resort on Thursday, July 12th, 2:30 -5:30 p. m. All past, present and prospective girls, staff and parents are cordially invited. Intending guests please inform Miss E. A. Murray at the above address. 6486-7-4-7-10

## H. B. Railway Finished In 1930

(Special to the Guardian)  
OTTAWA, Ont., July 6.—Officials of the railway department are confident that the Hudson Bay Railway will be completed for the 1930 season and at the same harbor development will be well advanced. While the road will be operated into Churchill in 1930 other work will not be advanced sufficient to give an opportunity for a fast test of this outlet for export trade, but services for mining and other developments will be given.

In diverting the line to Churchill 154 miles of new trackage is required. The department plans to have 80 miles graded and the track laid by December of this year and the remainder by December 1929. This will permit of rushing supplies necessary for harbor development into Churchill during the winter of 1929-30.

## Miss Earhart Back From Europe

(Canadian Press)  
NEW YORK, July 6.—Miss Amelia Earhart, first woman to fly across the Atlantic, stepped back on American soil today, after a return journey from Europe by boat to receive a noisy welcome from admirers and the official congratulations of the city, at City Hall.

With her were Wilmer Stultz and Louis Gordon, pilot and mechanic on her Atlantic hop.

Behind a police escort clearing the way with screaming sirens, the procession moved quickly up Broadway between the towering buildings which have witnessed half a dozen celebrations for heroic flyers in the past year. Acting Mayor McKee presented each of the three aviators with a scroll of welcome.

During an interview Miss Earhart said she had no plans for the immediate future. She said that in view of the future it is possible that women may serve as aviators because they can handle a plane as well as a man once they have learned. She believed that the time is not distant when the country will be dotted with aviation country clubs and she thought women would patronize these because they have as a rule more leisure than men.

Miss Earhart said that although they were enveloped in clouds for 18 hours on their flight there was not a dull moment. She was fascinated with the play of light in clouds and also kept a detailed log.

Province of Prince Edward Island.  
J. D. McGUIGAN  
Grand Knight

J. J. MORRIS  
Grand Knight, Summerside

PETER A. McLELLAN  
Grand Knight, Souris

Following is the menu for the dinner:

MENU  
Fancy Grapefruit  
Chow Chow  
Olives  
Salted Almonds  
Clam Chowder, New York Style  
Lobster, Newburgh  
Duchesse Potatoes  
Spaghetti a l'Italienne  
Boiled Island Salmon, Anchovy Sauce  
Creamed Potatoes  
Green Peas  
Lettuce and Tomato Salad  
Maconnaise  
Parker House Rolls, Graham Bread  
Strawberry Shortcake  
with Whipped Cream  
Kraft Cheese  
Crackers  
Cafe Noir

THE SIGN SAID ALL FLAVORS 10 CENTS BUT HE'D ONLY GIVE ME ONE

TORONTO, July 6.—Maritime, moderate to fresh easterly winds, fair but not much change in temperature.

Toronto clear, 76-90  
Montreal clear, 74-88  
Quebec clear, 74-88  
Halifax cloudy, 64-80  
St. John fair, 80-90  
Boston rain, 80-82  
New York rain, 80-82  
Charlottetown cloudy, 65-87  
High tide this afternoon at 2:13 and tonight at 1:16.  
Sun sets this evening at 7:49 and rises tomorrow morning at 4:21.  
Summerside tide eighteen minutes later than Charlottetown.

## LOEWENSTEIN LIKELY VICTIM OF ACCIDENT

Rumors That He Had Committed Suicide are Vigorously Denied at the Financier's Office in Brussels.

BRUSSELS, Belgium, July 6.—Rumors that Captain Alfred Loewenstein, killed in a fall from his private aeroplane last night, was a possible suicide were energetically denied today at the financier's office in Brussels.

It was stated the Captain was not alone at the head of his numerous affairs, whose direction and responsibility was shared by several prominent financiers, including the J. Henry Schroeder Banking Corporation of New York. From the financial viewpoint, it was said, Captain Loewenstein affairs will proceed as usual.

The belief in Brussels was that Captain Loewenstein was the victim of a tragic mistake in that he apparently mistook the door by which passengers leave the plane for the entrance to the washroom and in the darkness plunged from the machine.

Pilot Drew who was in charge of the Loewenstein plane, said that no one was quite sure as to the exact spot where the financier fell from the plane.

## Built Big Fortune

His immense fortune was built up by clever speculation. If took him thirty years to become the richest man in England. During the war he was engaged in London in connection with the provisioning of the Belgian army.

Two years ago he was virtually unknown but he achieved the limelight almost overnight when he offered to lend Belgium \$50,000,000 free of interest for a period of two years so as to enable the country to stabilize the franc. Shortly after this he offered to lend France a similar amount at interest of only two percent. He began to be regarded as the logical successor in European finance to the late Hugo Stimmings. He replaced Sir Basil Zaharoff as the mystery man of European finance.

Captain Loewenstein said he had built up his fortune by sound business "hunches" on industrial trends. As a young man he staked everything on the future importance of artificial silk, hydro electric power and methods of mass production.

He visited North America last April.

While in America he travelled by a ten passenger plane. He brought his personal pilot from abroad to fly the craft. At Philadelphia he tried to slip away from photographers as he had his plane take off and walked directly behind the propellers. Some one shouted "duck," and he saved himself, but he had been so near to death that one of the whirling blades knocked his hat from his head.

In one of his trips to Canada certain customs regulations were not complied with and his plane was temporarily seized. Everything was satisfactorily explained, however, and the plane was returned to him.

Captain Loewenstein always spent prodigiously. On his American tour he had four secretaries, two typists, a chauffeur, a private detective, a masseur, an automobile pilot, and two automobiles. His radio bill on the liner Ile De France totalled \$3,500.

He was said to own eight villas in Biarritz alone. He owned an estate in Leicestershire, England, and kept a string of horses there. He had a castle in Brussels, and a house in London. To make sure that he had the best of instruction in sports he kept a retinue of boxing instructors, billiard experts, tennis players, golf and fencing professionals on his payroll.

## Big Plans

LONDON, July 6.—The Evening Standard's financial editor says he recently had an interview with Captain Loewenstein, who informed him that he had some great plans in hand.

"At an beginning on some of the greatest schemes I have ever planned," Loewenstein is quoted as saying. "You will see surprising things too."

He then mentioned that he controlled investments amounting to £10,000,000 (\$80,000,000).

## Sells Plane

CALAIS, France, July 6.—Mme. Loewenstein, who arrived here this morning, ordered that the plane in which her husband was lost, return to London.

"Let it be sold," she said. "I do not ever wish to see it again."

## JURY FIND MACKINNON GUILTY OF MANSLAUGHTER

Their Verdict Was Brought In After Twenty Minutes Deliberation At Five O'Clock Yesterday Afternoon.—Concluding Evidence In The Case.

Yesterday afternoon at five o'clock the jury, after an absence of only twenty minutes to consider the verdict, found the accused Archibald Mackinnon guilty of manslaughter, his crime being that on Sunday, May 13th last while driving a motor car, as shown by the evidence in an intoxicated condition and at an excessive rate of speed, he lost control of the vehicle with the result that it ran up over the curb knocking down Mr. George Simmons and causing such injuries that he died two days later. The driver, instead of stopping to assist his victim, drove off at full speed up Spring Park Road.

The case was remarkable for the large number of witnesses who gave testimony on behalf of the crown. No evidence was given by the defence.

After very lucid and forceful addresses had been made to the jury by the Attorney General for the Crown, and Mr. J. D. Stewart for the defence, His Lordship Chief Justice Matheson gave his charge to the jury.

In the course of his address, he first outlined his duty and theirs in the case. It was his to lay down the rules of the law; theirs, subject to those rules, to decide questions of fact.

The unlawful killing of a human being may be either murder or manslaughter. If one person kills another with malice aforethought then it is murder. If the killing is done without malice, then it is manslaughter.

The charge the prisoner is being tried on is that of manslaughter, and that he does not require that the slayer have any malice toward his victim.

The one governing principle is that the driver of a car shall use reasonable caution and reasonable care. Always the law requires the driver to be on the alert. It requires that he do not drive at a speed which he cannot control, or one that has defective brakes; nor must he drive beyond the speed limit.

If any person driving a car within the limits of Charlottetown at a speed greater than fifteen miles an hour kills another person, it is manslaughter because the driver is negligent. The driver is not to be held responsible for the death of a person if he can be shown to have been on the alert, and if it is shown that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of the laws of the condition of the ground where it happened. No verdict of "not guilty" can be brought in, unless you are satisfied that the accused was blameless; that he was not at fault, that he was blameless; and he is not blameless if he was guilty of breaking any of