

INDIGESTION Troubled Him For Two Years

Mr. H. C. Harvey, Novar, Ont., writes: "I have been troubled with indigestion for the last two years. I have taken everything I have seen advertised, but have never had any of them do me so much good as



"I have taken six bottles and haven't had an attack since. It is the finest medicine you can take for the blood." Manufactured only by The T. Milburn Co., Limited, Toronto, Ont.



Distance lends enchantment So do ~ ~ PLANTERS' SALTED PEANUTS



swollen veins Absorbine J1 THE ANTISEPTIC LINIMENT At all Druggists \$1.25

Professional Caric R. R. BELL BARRISTER, SOLICITOR, ETC. Cameron Block, Charlottetown. MONEY TO LOAN

Dr. C. C. Archibald Graduate N. Y. Post Graduate Medical School and Hospital Practice limited to Eye, Ear, Nose and Throat

Mark R. McGuigan B. A. BARRISTER, SOLICITOR, ETC. Money to Loan

McLeod & Bentley J. A. BENTLEY, W. E. BENTLEY, K. C. Barrister and Attorney-at-Law Office: 180 Richmond Street

McDonald & McPhee B. A. J. A. McDONALD, H. F. MCPHEE B. A. Barristers, Attorneys, Etc. Money to Loan

Dr. W. J. P. McMillan

(Continued from page 5)

In such large numbers were there from all Provinces of Canada, that there were some questions, surely, upon which they might have come to some conclusion? They reported progress; but could they not report something definite on any single question that came up at that Conference, which should have been represented by the keenest minds and the ablest representatives of all the Provinces of Canada, together with the Dominion Cabinet?

We are told that it was a great conference, the greatest in the history of Canada; "a splendid conference." We shall judge of the splendor of that conference by the results which come from it. That is the way the people of Canada will judge it. The Premier tells us how sympathetic the Premiers of Alberta and Saskatchewan were, and the other Premiers as well. They said they would be glad to see Prince Edward Island get \$100,000. Premier Cavendish said he would be glad to make it \$200,000. Let us hope that that spirit will prevail.

I remember the Patriot newspaper telling us, on several occasions, about this wonderful conference; but we are still anxiously waiting for news. The Premier says: "You cannot get results from a conference in a day. You cannot come some one day and get the results 'the next day.'" He has reported progress, and he tells us that he presented our case as best he could. There is no one going to say anything against that; we all believe that he did the best he could, and that the hon. member for Belfast, who accompanied him, did his best. That is what they went there to do. As I said before, I only hope this conference is going to give us some definite, tangible results. Until we get those results we have only to stay our opinions as to whether the conference was a successful one or not.

Premier Saunders' Attitude

Now I am going to do like the Premier myself in speaking of this text section; I am going to say that I am not a farmer. "I am not a farmer," he said, "nor am I Acting Minister of Agriculture. I can say the same thing. He and I are alike in that respect. We have never been farmers, and we have never been Acting Minister of Agriculture. He took very serious exception to Premier Stewart when he occupied all these positions—he was Premier and Attorney General, he was Acting Minister of Agriculture, he was drawing his Sessional indemnity here, he was drawing a special indemnity for acting as trustee for Falconwood Hospital, and the worst thing of all was, he still had his law sign out over there on Great George Street. That is how he used to criticize Ex-Premier Stewart.

HON. MR. SAUNDERS: No, I never made any remark about his law sign.

DR. McMILLAN: Yes, you did.

HON. MR. SAUNDERS: No, I didn't.

DR. McMILLAN: You did make reference to his law sign. But when my hon. friend became Premier, what did he do? He didn't even follow the example of his old leader, Premier Bell. He didn't give up the Attorney-Generalship, for instance, to my hon. friend, Belfast, as he might have done. Now you know that our old friend the Hon. J. H. Bell was very close; at least, he had that reputation when he sat on the treasury chest. But he wasn't so close but that he gave the Attorney-Generalship to some other member of his Cabinet and kept the Premier-ship for himself. My hon. friend is just a little closer than his old leader in that respect. After going through the country and using as an argument against Premier Stewart the statement that he had all the parties, I think might have shown himself to be a little nearer to his friends. We all remember his remarks on this subject when he was in Opposition. "My hon. friend," he used to say, "has the Premier-ship, he has the Attorney-Generalship, he has the Sessional indemnity, he is a trustee at Falconwood," (he didn't tell us how much there was in that); "and he is Acting Minister of Agriculture. Isn't he doing great?" Will the hon. Premier tell this House how much Ex-Premier Stewart receives as Acting Minister of Agriculture? Will he tell this House, in dollars and cents, what the Acting Minister of Agriculture received from the treasury of this Province? If he wanted to tell the whole story, he might have said this: "I cannot understand how a man who is Premier and Attorney-General can find time to take on the duties of the Agricultural Department at the same time. And the strange thing about it is why he is doing it for nothing!"

HON. MR. SAUNDERS: He didn't seem to do it for nothing. He charged about \$400 to go to Ottawa to attend the Poultry Conference. This Province had to pay that.

DR. McMILLAN: Now, Mr. Speaker, does the Premier of this Province try to ram that down anyone's throat, that Ex-Premier Stewart went to Ottawa to attend a Poultry Conference in lieu of his salary as Minister of Agriculture? Is that the insinuation?

HON. MR. SAUNDERS: He did it, in any case, and it ran up to

pretty well \$400. DR. McMILLAN: What—a small retraction! A petty retraction! When it has been shown to this House and to the country that Premier Stewart never received one dollar as Acting Minister of Agriculture. (Applause.)

HON. MR. SAUNDERS: He received what I have stated. Do you deny that?

DR. McMILLAN: He turns around now and says that Mr. Stewart went to Ottawa as a representative of this Province to attend a meeting of the Poultry Association at that International Congress, and asks that what he received for his expenses should be charged up as salary as Acting Minister of Agriculture. What do you think of it? What do you think of such a pitiable argument from a man occupying the position of Premier of this Province. (Applause.) We have been told on the floor of this House about small politics; I think it was the hon. member from the First District of Queen's County who made the statement. Small politics! Can you have a more glorious example of small politics? I might ask the Premier now, in talking about law signs, did he remove HIS law sign since he became Premier and Attorney-General?

HON. MR. SAUNDERS: That's big politics, isn't it? That's big politics like you are. Big ideas!

DR. McMILLAN: Did he remove his sign from his office in Summerside?

HON. MR. SAUNDERS: Personal matters! Big ideas, those are!

DR. McMILLAN: There was a sign here in the city with his name on it. Did he remove it?

HON. MR. SAUNDERS: Is there any law that I should remove it?

DR. McMILLAN: None, whatever.

HON. MR. SAUNDERS: Are you discontinuing your medical profession?

DR. McMILLAN: For the time being; unless there is an emergency. (Laughter.) But I submit when the Leader of the Government criticizes a former Premier of this Province for taking the very office which he is now holding and for acting as Minister of Agriculture, and doing the work for nothing, that his time might have been spent to better advantage.

HON. MR. SAUNDERS: Just like yourself!

DR. McMILLAN: Because it is always a very good test of a man, when he is an extreme critic and talks of what this or that fellow is doing, to see what he does when he gets in the same position himself. That is the best test of his criticism, as to whether it is sincere or not. Why doesn't my hon. friend turn around and say: "We give the former Premier of this Province credit for having saved the salary of a Minister of Agriculture for several months."

HON. MR. SAUNDERS: You were afraid to open a constituency, because you knew you would be trimmed. That is the reason you didn't go to the country.

DR. McMILLAN: Yes, we were very frightened! The only time we did open one we got snubbed over, without licking that it frightened us! (Laughter.)

Exaggerated Praise.

I have been wondering why my hon. friend the Minister of Agriculture is so quiet. He is not generally so quiet, and I suppose he won't be so quiet when I am done. But I believe his modesty is coming to the fore. After listening to the Premier tell this House that everything that has been done in the Agricultural Department for years past—every move towards betterment of agriculture, no matter in what line, no matter what Government was in power—was due to our hon. friend the Minister, it is almost enough to keep any man quiet. I never overheard the Minister of Agriculture make those pretentious claims himself. It is left to the Premier to tell the House about the wonderful things the Minister of Agriculture did. It was he, it seems, who initiated and fostered the great potato industry; he promoted the business and gave them free office downstairs. He didn't say those offices were the gift of the Government or of the people of the Province. I will give the Minister just as much credit as any man for what he has done for agriculture in this Province. I believe he is sincere and I believe that he devotes all his energy and ability towards the promotion of agriculture in this Province, because he has heard him at it. But I do not believe he himself, when he gets up to speak, will say that every movement to promote agriculture in this Province for many years past was initiated by him. It was left to my hon. friend the Premier to make that gross exaggeration.

Disease Free Area.

Even the Disease Free Area, he said, was due to the Minister of Agriculture. Now I wonder by what process of reasoning he arrives at that conclusion. If a Liberal Government had been in power in this Province at the time, whether the Minister was then Minister of Agriculture or whether he was a private member, there might be some means

Bringing Up Father



Long, low lines give grace and beauty to McLaughlin-Buick Fisher bodies, but without the slightest sacrifice of interior space or headroom. Upholstery and appointments that rival the drawing-room in luxury... and a handsomeness of performance no less than of appearance... endear the 1928 McLaughlin-Buick to the discerning lady driver.

Beauty that attracts beauty THE unfailing feminine appreciation of beauty makes McLaughlin-Buick the most popular car in its class among lady drivers. McLAUGHLIN-BUICK 1928

A. HORNE & CO. | PRINCE MOTORS CHARLOTTETOWN SUMMERSIDE Dealers For Prince Edward Island. WHEN BETTER AUTOMOBILES ARE BUILT—McLAUGHLIN-BUICK WILL BUILD THEM

by which you could deduce that he acted in some way as missionary or ambassador between the Dominion Government and the Department of Agriculture in this Province. But he was certainly not the ambassador between the Dominion Government and the Department of Agriculture under the Stewart Government. If there is anything in that contention, it is coming at a very late date. The Premier says the Minister is responsible for the Disease Free Area and the Stewart Government should get no credit for it at all. I do not know that I have heard any one claim any particular credit for it on behalf of the Stewart Government. We are willing to give the Dominion Government every credit that is due them for the establishment of this area. I have had occasion to say before, and I now repeat, that probably the greatest credit for this advance should be given to the people of this Province, to the farmers who signed the petitions that were circulated throughout the country, not by the Minister of Agriculture who is sitting here, but by our Department of Agriculture under a different Minister. Those petitions were circulated and signed by the farmers who agreed to take the loss, if loss there should be. When those petitions were signed the agreement was carried through to completion. All the Stewart Government did, of course, was to pay the bills, some eight or nine thousand dollars. All the Stewart Government did was to make provision for the accommodation and transportation of the veterinaries who were sent down here. When the whole thing was over and the job completed those who had charge of the work said that in their opinion they had never had better trans-

portation accommodation; they never had better treatment than was given them by the Stewart Government. But the Premier says it was all due to the present Minister of Agriculture. The people will judge of that!

It was a good thing, I believe, the establishment of the Disease Free Area. This Province is rather an ideal place for it, because we know that it is easy to look after the import of diseased animals. It is just one of those matters of public health that needed attention. Other examinations, I understand, have to be made three years from the initial one. Then we shall see what the results are. I hope the Premier will see to it that those inspectors get as good transportation around the country as they got when we initiated this work.

Technical School.

Another thing which the Premier waxed eloquent about in his speech the other day, as he does on every occasion, was the Technical School. He blames the Stewart Government for demolishing this institution. It was explained many times during the past four years why the Stewart Government acted that way. We considered that the Technical School was too expensive to maintain with the revenue at our disposal. We considered that it was not giving the results that it should. Therefore we decided to close it up and to try something different. Now I understand that my hon. friend the Minister of Agriculture is of the opinion that this was a wonderful institution, too. He told us a good many things in his maiden speech, from the time he congratulated the six members

of the Opposition upon surviving the death and destruction of last June. He told us the Technical School was a splendid institution, but he did not go into the particulars. He did not tell us how many farmers' sons obtained their education in that school. He did not tell us whether or not it was educating the farmers' sons off the farms. He did not tell us about the boys who came into that Technical School to receive an agricultural education and who selected certain lines which would lead them away from the farm. He might have told us all that. At any rate, after considering the matter, and knowing that it was an expensive proposition and that our revenues were being curtailed at Ottawa, we decided to close the Technical School; and we have been told ever since what a disgraceful thing we did.

The Premier told us about the wonderful progress that were given there in cheese and butter making, and what a loss that plant was. But we know something about that plant, too. The strange part of it is this: that when that school had been in operation the result to the dairy industry of the Province should have been apparent; there should have been a marked improvement; but there was not. We threw that scheme aside and adopted another system, we tried other methods, which culminated in the bringing of a man here, an expert who followed a real policy; and the result of that policy is that today Island butter is first and Island cheese second on the markets of Canada.

Now that improvement did not happen while the Technical School was in operation, nor since my hon. friend has come into power. It was after we had demolished the Technical School, as they said,

after we had struck such a vital blow to technical education in this Province. We got results, just the same, and those results speak for themselves.

I would like to ask the Minister of Agriculture, who is supposed to be the exponent of this Technical School, why didn't the Government re-open that institution if it was such a serious thing to have it closed.

HON. MR. LEA: I will tell you why, don't worry.

DR. McMILLAN: They have been in power long enough to have had that school re-opened. But, I suppose, Mr. Speaker, it was like many another thing. Anything that we touched was bad; it was injurious. Anything that they did was in the best interests of the country. That, apparently, is the Premier's idea, because he wants us to name one single thing that the Stewart Government ever did for the farmers of this Province. In some of his speeches during the last four years he has had to admit several things that were done. I suppose I tell him—

It is of no use to tell him when he is not here, Mr. Speaker; and very little use to tell him if he was here. (Laughter.) But I do not think that the closing of the Technical School was any serious loss to this Province, because it was costing too much, because it was not helping the farming people of this Province. One year there were only a few students graduating in the agriculture course in that school.

It is agreed that technical education has its good points. It is probably better adapted to larger centres of population than we have here, where farming is the principal occupation. But there is a splendid opportunity now for re-opening the Technical School, because the Minister of Public Works will require an army of technicians in order to man all that road artillery which he has on hand for next Spring's work. I suppose a course in motor mechanics would be a very good thing. He will find difficulty, I imagine, in getting men properly adapted and skilled in the management of those tractors, with intricate machinery. So the present time might be very opportune to re-open the Technical School.

(To Be Continued.)

Was Subject to BRONCHITIS Every Winter

Mrs. Wm. Henley, 524 Hill Street, London, Ont., writes: "My daughter, aged fourteen, was subject to bronchitis every winter. She would cough until she was sore from the exertion. Last winter a friend advised me to get a bottle of your Dr. Wood's Norway Pine Syrup."

so I got a small bottle to try and was delighted to find that before she had taken half of it her cough was completely relieved. I certainly feel justified in recommending it to those suffering from coughs and colds. Price 35c a bottle, large family size 60c.; put up only by The T. Milburn Co., Limited, Toronto, Ont.

—By George McManus